RESEARCH ARTICLE



New insights into the evolutionary history of resistance gene candidates in coconut palms and their expression profiles in palms affected by lethal yellowing disease

Carlos Puch-Hau¹ · Carlos Oropeza¹ · Manuel Góngora-Paredes¹ · Iván Córdova¹ · José Tun-Suárez² · Luis Sáenz¹

Received: 15 February 2016/Accepted: 26 April 2016 © The Genetics Society of Korea and Springer-Science and Media 2016

Abstract The nucleotide binding site and leucine rich repeat (NBS-LRR) class of R genes is the most comprehensively studied in terms of sequence evolution; however, in coconut palm and, more generally, in the family of Arecaceae, our understanding of the evolution of these genes is rather limited. In this study, disease resistance gene candidates (RGCs) of the nucleotide binding site (NBS) type of coconut palm were used to investigate evolutionary relationships in Arecaceae, Poaceae and Brassicaceae species. The results indicate a speciesspecific evolution of RGCs in coconut palm. However, strikingly similar RGCs between species of Arecales indicate a high conservation of specific RGCs of this family, suggesting a monophyletic origin of three genera. The phylogenetic relationship between RGCs of Arecales and Brassicales suggests that these sequences possibly emerged before being divided between monocots and dicots. Finally the comparative analysis of the expression of four RGCs in healthy coconut palm and those affected with lethal yellowing disease revealed differences in their expression profiles. This study provides new insights for future efforts towards the improvement of disease resistance in coconut palm and other species of Arecaceae.

Keywords Resistance gene candidates · Coconut palm · Lethal yellowing · Evolution · *Cocos nucifera*

Luis Sáenz vyca@cicy.mx

² Instituto Tecnológico de Conkal, Mérida, YUC, Mexico

Introduction

The economic importance of the palm family of plants (Arecaceae or Palmae) is second only to the grass family (Poaceae) among monocotyledons and the third among all plant families after legumes (Leguminosae). Among the palm crops, the top three out of the five major domesticated palm species in the world are the African oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*), the coconut palm (*Cocos nucifera*) and the date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera*), and the other two are peach palm (*Bactris gasipaes*) and betel palm (*Areca catechu*) (FAO, http://www.fao.org).

Coconut palm is widely distributed throughout the humid tropics, where it is cultivated over an estimated area of 12 million hectares. The coconut tree is highly versatile, with extensive applications in agriculture and industry; these applications include food, fiber, oil, soil fertilizers, spa ingredients, furniture, fashion accessories, garments, construction and building materials, oleochemicals and biofuels (Fan et al. 2013). Unfortunately, this palm is susceptible to several pathogens that hinder yields including viruses (Rohde et al. 1990), viroides (Hanold and Randles 1991), protozoa (Parthasarathy et al. 1978), nematodes (Griffith 1987), insect pests (Gitau et al. 2009) and mollicutes (Howard and Barrant 1989) such as the 'Candidatus Phytoplasma palmae', which is responsible for the devastating disease known as lethal yellowing (LY) in the Americas (Harrison and Oropeza 2008). Currently genetic studies have focused on the identification of genes with biotechnological applications, such as those involved in defense mechanisms, which have become increasingly more relevant for this crop (Fan et al. 2013; Huang et al. 2014; Puch-Hau et al. 2015; Nejat et al. 2015).

Plant resistance to a range of pathogenic organisms is conferred by a diverse group of disease resistance

¹ Biotechnology Unit, Centro de Investigación Científica de Yucatán, Calle 43 No. 130. Colonia Chuburna de Hidalgo, 97200 Mérida, YUC, Mexico

(R) genes (Martínez et al. 2003). These R genes encode proteins with cytoplasmic nucleotide-binding site and leucine-rich repeat (NBS-LRR) domains and have been associated with effector-triggered immunity (ETI) (Jones and Jones 1997). The NBS domain is involved in signaling and includes several highly conserved and strictly ordered motifs such as P-loop, kinase-2 and GLPL motifs (Belkhadir et al. 2004), which have been demonstrated by the binding and hydrolysis of ATP and GTP. However, the LRR motif is typically involved in protein-protein interactions and ligand binding with pathogen-derived molecules, suggesting that this domain may play a pivotal role in defining pathogen recognition specificity (Ellis et al. 1999). In plants, the NBS-LRR genes have been subdivided into two main groups based on the presence or absence of the N-terminal Toll/interleukin-1 receptor (TIR) homology region (Meyers et al. 1999; Richly et al. 2002). Most of those genes, especially in the monocots which lack the TIR, have a coiled coil (CC) motif in the N-terminal region. Studies have been focused on this family of proteins because of their only known function in disease resistance (Meyers et al. 2005).

The past few years have seen remarkable progress in understanding the mechanisms of the R gene evolution in Arabidopsis, but notable discoveries have also emerged from studies in other plant species such as wheat, sorghum, maize, brachypodium, rice and cotton, among others (Li et al. 2010; Bouktila et al. 2015; Khan et al. 2015; Gu et al. 2015).

Comparative genomic analyses have indicated that plant genomes can encode several hundred NBS–LRR genes and that there is a great diversity in the number and distribution of the subclasses of these genes. Some genomes, such as the genome of *Carica papaya* and *Cucumis sativus*, contain approximately 50 NBS–LRR genes (Porter et al. 2009; Wan et al. 2013); this is in contrast to the 653 genes that have been identified in *Oryza sativa* (Shang et al. 2009). The great variation in the total number of NBS–LRR genes might indicate the complex evolutionary pattern in different plant genomes and that they are organized either as isolated genes or as linked clusters of varying sizes that are thought to facilitate rapid *R* gene evolution (Hulbert et al. 2001).

In coconut palm and the family Arecales in general, RGCs of the NBS type have been successfully cloned and characterized (Puch-Hau et al. 2015; Rajesh et al. 2015); however, their evolutionary process remains largely unknown. In fact, studies of NBS sequence evolution in coconut palms may shed some light on breeding strategies for disease control in coconut palm crops. Annotation and identification of RCGs in *Cocos nucifera* (Puch-Hau et al. 2015; Rajesh et al. 2015), *Phoenix dactylifera* (Al-Ms-sallem et al. 2013) and *Elaeis guineensis* (Foan et al. 2012)

will permit comparisons of resistance gene candidates between species of the family Arecales and provide insight into their evolutionary relationships. The comparative analysis of these sequences among Arecales and Poales as monocot plants and Brassicales as dicot plants may allow us to find evolutionary relationships, common features or specific characteristics of R genes that have not been reported in this family.

Therefore, the objective of this study was to characterize the NBS sequences of coconut palms, to investigate their evolutionary relationships with NBS sequences of *Arecaceae*, *Poaceae and Brassicaceae* species, to estimate their evolutionary rates and study their gene expression in healthy palms and plants affected by LY disease in the field. These investigations might serve as a blueprint for further efforts to clone functional NBS–LRR genes from coconut palm and other species of Arecales because no resistance genes in this plant family have been reported to date.

Materials and methods

Study of NBS sequences

Identification of NBS sequences

The NBS sequences used in this study were retrieved from the transcriptome of the embryo (Accession No. GBGL0000000), endosperm (Accession No. GBGK0000000) and coconut leaves (Accession No. GBGM0000000) reported by Huang et al. (2014) as well as a previous study that we developed (Puch-Hau et al. 2015). All NBS sequences were downloaded from the NCBI database (National Center for Biotechnology information). The motif sequences were identified using the Multiple Expectation Maximization for Motif Elicitation (MEME) software and the Motif Alignment and Search Tool (MAST) (http://meme.sdsc.edu/meme/website/intro. html).

Phylogenetic relationships and calculation of K_{α}/K_{s} ratios

The NBS domain was used for phylogenetic analysis. Sequences with less than 50 % of the full-length NBS were excluded. Multiple alignments of amino acid sequences were performed using ClustalW with default options (Larkin et al. 2007). Phylogenetic trees were constructed by the neighbor-joining (NJ) method (Saitou and Nei 1987) with Poisson correction using the NJ algorithm implemented with version 6.0 of the Molecular Evolutionary Genetic Analysis software (MEGA) (Tamura et al. 2013). Bootstrap analysis was performed with 1000 replicates to evaluate the reliability of the interior nodes for a particular grouping pattern in the tree.

The ratio of non-synonymous substitution per non-synonymous site and synonymous substitution per synonymous site are here represented by K_a and K_s , respectively. The analysis was calculated with the Nei–Gojobori model (Nei and Gojobori 1986) using version 6.0 of MEGA (Tamura et al. 2013). The ratio of non-synonymous to synonymous nucleotide substitution (K_a/K_s) was detected in the NBS region between sequences of the same clade and in sequences of different clades. It is widely accepted that a K_a/K_s ratio >1 indicates positive selection, a ratio of 1 indicates neutral evolution, and a ratio <1 indicates purifying selection.

Detection of DNA in LY phytoplasma

Plant material and DNA extraction

Three adult asymptomatic coconut palms and palms with symptoms of LY disease growing in field conditions on the northern coast of the Yucatan Peninsula (21°22'N, 89°00'W) were sampled to determine expression profiles of four NBS sequences in leaf, stem and root tissues. The symptomatic plants were naturally infected coconut palms (*Cocos nucifera* L.) showing stages 1 and 2 of LY symptom development, as defined by McCoy et al. (1983): nut fall (1) and appearance of necrotic inflorescences (2). Additionally, we used 12-month-old plantlets cultured in liquid medium Y3 (Eeuwens 1976) as the control of expression. The DNA for the diagnosis of LY phytoplasma was extracted following the protocol described by Harrison et al. (1994) with minor modifications.

Real time-PCR assay

For the detection of LY phytoplasma DNA from root tissues of asymptomatic and symptomatic coconut palms, the TaqMan LY16S assay reported by Córdova et al. (2014) was used. Reactions were performed in 20 μ l of TaqMan Universal PCR master mix with AmpErase Uracil *N*-Glycosylase (UNG) (Applied Biosystems, USA), 1 μ l of primer mix containing 900 nM of each primer, probe (250 nM) and 50 ng of DNA. Amplification was performed with a CFX96 real-time PCR system (Bio-Rad, USA). PCR was initiated with two steps: 2 min at 50 °C to activate AmpErase UNG, 10 min at 95 °C to activate AmpliTaq Gold DNA polymerase followed by 40 cycles at 95 °C for 15 s and 1 min at 61 °C. All DNA samples including controls were assessed in duplicate. The threshold cycle (Ct) values of each PCR reaction were manually set to intersect the exponential phase of the amplification curves, but the baseline was automatically set by CFX manager software IQ (Bio-Rad, USA).

Transcript expression analysis

RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was extracted from coconut palms (leaf, stem and root) following the methodology described by Montero-Córtes (Montero-Cortes et al. 2010). The RNA samples were treated with DNase-free (Ambion, Foster city, CA, USA) to remove the genomic DNA. The RNA quality was checked on a ethidium bromide-stained formaldehyde agarose gel (1.2 %) by examining integrity of the rRNA bands followed by quantification using a Nanodrop 1000 (Thermo Scientific, USA). First-strand cDNA was prepared using random hexamers (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and 500 ng of RNA in conjunction with reverse-transcriptase (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Real-time PCR assay

Specific primers were designed for four randomly selected RGCs to study expression in healthy palms and those diseased with LY field (CnRGC121: FW 5-ACTCCATCC TCTTCCCGCAGTTGT-3 and RV 5-AGAGAATGTCCC CACTACCCAGA-3, for CnRGC46: 5'-TCCCACCTCTC CTCAGCTGTTTC-3' and 5'-CCTTGACTGTTTACCAG AAGACCA-3', for CnRGC22: 5'-TTGCTTCTCTCTCTC CGACCTTAAAACC-3' and 5'-TATTAGAGCGAAGAT CCACCAAGTC-3' and for CnRGC42: 5'-CTTTCGGA CATTGGCATTTTAGTC-3' and 5'-CTAGCAGTTGAAA GCCGTGTTCTGG-3'). We used the 18S rRNA gene as a normalizer of the expression (FW 5'-CGGCTACCACA TCCAAGGAA-3' and RV 5'-GCTG GAATTACCGC GGCT-3') in each sample.

Real-time RT-PCR was performed using a platinum SYBR Green qPCR superMix-UDG (Invitrogen, USA) and analyzed with an iCycler IQ real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The conditions were 95 °C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles at 95 °C by 15 s and a final step at 64 °C for 1 min. Real-time PCR results were analyzed using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method (Livak and Schmittgen 2001), with appropriate validation experiments performed beforehand (Real-Time PCR Applications Guide BIORAD). The results are expressed as relative expression levels. Data represent the mean \pm standard deviation (SD) of each sample by triplicate.

Results

Identification of NBS sequences from transcriptomic sequences

To characterize the most RGCs of coconut palm, we identified 62 RGC sequences from embryo, endosperm and leaf tissue transcriptomes reported by Huang et al. (2014). Of the 62 RGC sequences, there were 53 open reading frames (ORFs) and 9 stop codons. Of the three tissues, the embryonic transcriptome had the maximum total number of NBS transcripts (26 sequences); whereas, the leaf transcriptome had the minimum total number of NBS transcripts (17 sequences). The largest number of NBS genes with ORFs (17 sequences) was found in the leaf transcriptome compared with the embryo and endosperm (15 sequences). The total number of RGCs identified in the three coconut transcriptomes and others characterized in a previous study by our group (Puch-Hau et al. 2015) are described in Table 1.

The RGCs with an identified ORF in the three transcriptomes were used to generate a phylogenetic tree. In this tree, we observed that all sequences expressed in the three tissues were different, indicating that gene expression can be tissue-specific and could be associated with the site of infection of different pathogens (Fig. 1).

Analysis for the Conserved Motif Structures

To analyze the structural diversity of the conserved motifs in the RGCs, we used the MEME motif detection software. All previously characterized RGCs (Puch-Hau et al. 2015) and those identified in the three transcriptomes were used to identify the conserved motifs. We found 20 putative, conserved motifs among them. The detailed motif sequences are shown in Table 2. Previous work identified eight major motifs in the NBS region, and most of them have different patterns depending on whether they are present in the TNL (TIR-NBS–LRR) or CNL (non-TIR-NBS–LRR) groups (Meyers et al. 1999). In this study, the MEME results identified the motifs that matched the eight major motifs (P-loop, RNBS-A-non-TIR, Kinase-2, RNBS-B, GLPL, RNBS-C and RNBS-D-non TIR) identified previously, confirming that the NBS domain is the most conserved region among the domains encoded by R genes. On the other hand, we identified in some sequences the LDL motif located in the C-terminal region known as the LRR domain. In addition, some of the sequences presented unknown motifs (5, 6, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 17, 18, 20 and 29 motifs) in the CC and NBS domains.

Organization and phylogeny of NBS sequences among species of Arecaceae

One hundred forty one, fifty nine, and thirty four RGCs from coconut palm, date palm and oil palm, respectively, were used to clarify the phylogenetic relationships between Arecaceae species (Fig. 2). The generated tree showed nine major groups that are quite distinct from each other. Of the nine groups, seven had sequences of the three palm species and showed clear orthologous relationships between each clade, suggesting that these genes are conserved between Arecaceae species. Interestingly, we revealed two groups (VIII and IX) comprised of only coconut palm sequences, whose homologs were classified into a species-specific gene family.

The largest group of sequences (50 sequences) was found in clade III of the coconut palm, while clade I had the minority of sequences (1 sequence). For the date palm, most sequences were from group VII (15 sequences) and the minority in the group I (1 sequence). In the oil palm, the majority of sequences were in group VI (13 sequences); a minority were from groups IV and VII (2 sequences). The great variation in the number of NBS sequences in each

 Table 1
 Number of RGCs identified in coconut palm

Ecotype	Source	Total transcripts	Total of NBS–LRR sequences	# sequences with ORF	# sequences with stop codons	Reference
MYD	Genomic DNA	_	32	28	4	Puch-Hau et al. (2015)
MXPT	Genomic DNA	-	116	102	14	
MXAT	Genomic DNA	-	21	13	8	
	Total		169	143	26	
GD	Embryo transcriptome	86, 254	26	21	5	In this study
	Endosperm transcriptome	229, 886	19	14	4	
	Leaf transcriptome	159, 509	17	17	0	
	Total		62	53	9	

MYD malayan yellow dwarf, MXPT mexican pacific tall, MXAT mexican atlantic tall, GD green dwarf

Fig. 1 Phylogenetic tree of RGAs obtained from three transcriptome of coconut palm (embryo, endosperm and leaf). The RGAs from embryo transcriptome are show as solid black circles, the endosperm in white squares and the leaf in solid black triangles. The tree was constructed by the neighbor-joining method with a statistical analysis of bootstrap with 1000 replicates. The values of bootstrap >50 % are shown on the branches. APAF-1 was used as an outgroup



clade might indicate the complex evolutionary pattern of NBS–LRR genes in the Arecaceae family. This rapid copy number evolution for R genes implicitly or explicitly assumes a fast turnover of gene copies through repeated cycles of duplication, divergence and eventual loss by pseudogene formation or deletion, suggesting that these genes underwent adaptive evolution in response to diverse pathogens (Li et al. 2010).

Comparing evolutionary rates among NBS sequences of coconut palm

In genetics, the K_{α}/K_s ratio is used as an indicator of selective pressure acting on a protein-coding gene (Michelmore and Meyers 1998). The groups with less than three sequences were excluded (groups I and II) for this analysis. The average K_a/K_s ratio found in the NBS sequences of coconut palm was <1 for the groups III, IV, VI and IX, indicating that these sequences were under strong purifying selection or negative selection implying

the elimination of most of the non-synonymous substitutions. However, groups V, VII and VIII were under positive selection or diversifying selection, implying the accumulation of advantageous mutations during the course of evolution. Moreover, we calculated the K_a/K_s ratio between NBS sequences of different clades. The results showed that most K_a/K_s values were <1 in pairwise comparisons, with the exceptions of clade I/clade VII, clade III/clade VII, clade IV/clade VII and clade VII/clade IX with values >1, suggesting that these NBS sequence pairs may be under positive selection. We found that many NBS sequence pairs exhibited K_a/K_s ratios of more than 0.8 (clade I/clade II, clade I/clade III, clade I/clade V, clade II/clade VII, clade II/clade IX, clade III/clade IX, clade IV/clade VI, clade V/clade VI, clade V/clade VII, clade V/clade VIII and clade VI/clade VII), suggesting potential positive selection (Table 3). This finding indicates that purifying selection and positive selection play a critical role in the evolutionary processes of these NBS sequences in coconut palms.

Domain	Motif ^a	Sequence ^b	Domain	Similar to	Length	E-value
CC	Motif 14	IIESVTGEQCQLTNMDAMQHELKEQLKGK	CC	_	29	2.8e-283
NB-ARC	Motif 4	GGGGKTTLAQEIYNH	NB-ARC	P-loop	15	1.3e-1136
	Motif 7	RRIDYHFHVRIWVCVSQDFDV	NB-ARC	RNBS-A-non-TIR	21	2.5e-964
	Motif 17	DLMRRLIKDLYNENRDILPGNIDAM PCDSLAEVLHGYLQQK	NB-ARC	-	41	1.0e-172
	Motif 12	IQQMIADRLGVPWKDNDSEILRAKMLLRA	NB-ARC	-	29	2.1e-419
	Motif 10	INLLKDIYDGTTGDLAGDQSKSSLEPKVE	NB-ARC	-	29	9.2e-612
	Motif 3	RYFLVLDDVWNEFVW	NB-ARC	Kinase-2	15	3.7e-1152
	Motif 15	CDLLCNTLKSC	NB-ARC	-	11	8.2e-255
	Motif 2	ANGCKIIITTRNEQVCRQMGA	NB-ARC	RNBS-B	21	4.8e-1446
	Motif 18	VGIPRPNK	NB-ARC	-	8	5.9e-171
	Motif 6	LSEEDCWSLFCKKAF	NB-ARC	-	15	9.0e-834
	Motif 1	HQHLEDIGMEIVKKCHGLPLA	NB-ARC	GLPL	21	1.2e-1652
	Motif 11	AKVVGSAMRHETDPREWRHAL	NB-ARC	-	21	3.0e-657
	Motif 20	KAMKAYPSRIP	NB-ARC	-	11	1.6e-155
	Motif 9	MPNDMFPALYYSYDH	NB-ARC	RNBS-C	15	1.2e-615
	Motif 8	LPHHLQQCFPYCCFF	NB-ARC	RNBS-D-non TIR	15	5.1e-687
	Motif 5	PSHLKQCFAYCSMFPKDYHFDKDYL VQCWMAQGFIQPQGSN	NB-ARC	-	41	4.0e-985
	Motif 13	MEDIGDEYFNDLIMRNFFQPS	NB-ARC	-	21	1.6e-295
	Motif 16	YQMHDMMHDLA	NB-ARC	MHDV	11	4.7e-232
LRR	Motif 19	PDSLGNLIHLRYLNMSYTKISVMPESIGNL RNLOFLMMSYC	LRR1	Motif1 LDL	41	3.9e-165

Table 2 NB-LRR-specific amino acid motifs identified in RGCs of coconut palm using the program MEME

^a Motifs are listed according to their rank derived from the program MEME analysis

^b Consensus amino acid sequence derived from MEME program analysis

Phylogenetic relationships between species of Arecaceae, Poaceae and Brassicaceae

To study the evolutionary relationships among RGCs of species of Arecaceae, Poaceae and Brassicaceae, we built a phylogenetic tree using the conserved NBS domain, including the P-loop, kinase-2, kinase-3 and GLPL motifs. The species from the Arecaceae family were *Cocos nuci-fera*, *Phoenix dactylifera* and *Elaeis guineensis*. For the Poaceae family, they were *Oryza sativa* and *Sorghum bicolor*, while those of the Brassicaceae family were *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Brassica rapa* and *Brassica oleracea*. The sequences that did not show correct alignment were excluded from this analysis (Fig. 3).

To clarify the phylogenetic relationships, three types of clades were identified among the eight species: a speciesspecific clade, a family-specific clade and a complex gene clade. If the homologous genes in a clade were only present in the genome of a species but absent in the other, the clade was defined as species-specific. The second type of clade was classified as family-specific, which is composed of homologous genes of species from the same family. The remaining clades were categorized as complex gene clades, which include homologous genes of different species. We identified a total of 17 species-specific clades: 6 in the family of Arecaceae (5 in Cocos nucifera and 1 in Phoenix dactylifera), 10 in Poaceae (4 in Oriza sativa and 6 in Sorghum bicolor) and only 1 in Brassicaceae (comprising 1 sequence of Brassica rapa). Among the NBS sequences of the three families of plants, 24 family-specific clades were identified: 8 in Arecaceae (3 between Phoenix dactylifera-Elaeis guineensis and 5 between Cocos nucifera-Phoenix dactylifera-Elaeis guineensis), 13 in Poaceae (between Oriza sativa-Sorghum bicolor) and 3 in Brassicaceae (1 between Brassica rapa-Brassica oleracea and 2 between Arabidopsis thaliana-Brassica rapa-Brassica oleracea). For the complex clades, 14 were identified, of which 9 were composed of homologous genes of Arecales and Poales, 3 between Arecales and Brassicales and 2 between Poales and Brassicales, but none were composed of NBS sequences of the three families. These results indicate that the sequences of Arecaceae have more phylogenic relationships with the Poaceae family (9 complex clades) than with the Brassicaceae family (3 complex clades) (Table 4). This result was expected because both families (Arecaceae and Poaceae) belong to the class of monocots; however,

Fig. 2 Phylogenetic relationship of RGCs from coconut palm, date palm and oil palm. The 234 RGCs were grouped in nine main clades (I to IX), seven of which consisted of orthologous sequences of the three species and two of which consisted of species specific to coconut palm. The *black* rhombuses represent RGAs of coconut palm. The tree was constructed by the neighbourjoining method with a statistical analysis of bootstrap with 1000 replicates. The values of bootstrap >50% are shown on the branches. APAF-1 was used as an outgroup



Deringer

Table 3 K_a/K_s ratios for pairwise comparisons among members of each clade and among RGCs of the different clades of coconut palm

clade and the different pair Between members of each clade Clade III 0.729 0.980 0.744 Clade IV 0.273 1.456 0.188 Clade V 0.731 0.677 1.080 Clade VI 0.493 1.568 0.314 Clade VII 0.596 0.498 1.197 Clade VII 0.378 0.339 0.115 Clade VIII 0.378 0.339 0.139 Clade VClade II 0.463 0.520 0.890 Clade UClade IV 0.585 0.964 0.607 Clade UClade VI 1.198 1.356 0.883 Clade UClade VI 1.198 1.356 0.883 Clade UClade VI 1.107 1.062 1.042 Clade UClade VII 1.077 1.166 0.665 Clade UClade IW 0.713 1.248 0.575 Clade UClade VII 0.731 1.248 0.575 Clade IUClade VII 0.731 1.640 0.902 Clade IUClade VII	atios for sons among		Clade name	Ka	Ks	K _a /K _s
ne different t palm Clade IV 0.273 1.456 0.188 Clade V 0.731 0.077 1.080 Clade VI 0.493 1.558 0.314 Clade VII 0.596 0.498 1.197 Clade VII 0.377 0.086 0.430 Clade IVClade II 0.463 0.520 0.890 Clade UClade II 0.463 0.520 0.890 Clade UClade II 0.363 0.964 0.607 Clade UClade VI 1.98 1.355 0.883 Clade UClade VI 0.667 1.054 0.665 Clade UClade VI 0.756 1.107 0.648 Clade UClade VI 0.756 1.107 0.648 Clade UClade VI 0.713 1.248 0.575 Clade UClade VI 0.731 1.248 0.579 Clade UClade VI 0.731 1.248 0.575 Clade UClade VI 0.733 1.058 0.598 Clade UClade VI 0.737 0.643 0.529	clade and the different t palm	Between members of each clade	Clade III	0.729	0.980	0.744
Clade V 0.731 0.677 1.080 Clade VI 0.493 1.568 0.314 Clade VII 0.375 0.498 1.197 Clade VII 0.378 0.339 1.115 Clade VII 0.378 0.339 0.1314 Determine 0.1246 11 0.378 0.399 Clade VClade II 0.463 0.520 0.890 Clade VClade II 0.463 0.667 1.054 0.667 Clade VClade VI 1.107 1.062 1.042 Clade VClade VI 1.107 1.062 1.042 Clade VClade VI 1.107 1.062 1.042 Clade VClade VI 0.755 1.106 0.665 Clade VClade VI 0.713 1.248 0.575 Clade IVClade IV 0.737 1.008 0.733 Clade IVClade VI 0.737 1.008 0.733 Clade IVClade VI 0.737 1.008 0.737 Clade IVClade VI 0.825 1.403 0.586 Clade IVClade VI 0.623 1.233 0.497			Clade IV	0.273	1.456	0.188
Clade VI 0.493 1.568 0.314 Clade VII 0.596 0.498 1.197 Clade VII 0.337 0.086 0.430 Clade IX 0.037 0.086 0.430 Clade IX 0.037 0.086 0.430 Clade IX 0.555 0.964 0.667 Clade IXClade II 0.463 0.520 0.890 Clade IXClade VI 0.567 1.054 0.683 Clade IXClade VI 0.667 1.054 0.663 Clade IXClade VII 0.775 1.166 0.665 Clade IXClade VII 0.775 1.166 0.665 Clade IXClade VII 0.775 1.168 0.575 Clade IXClade VII 0.713 1.248 0.575 Clade IIXClade VI 0.737 1.008 0.733 Clade IIXClade VI 0.737 1.008 0.732 Clade IIXClade VI 0.623 1.233 0.497 Clade IIXClade VI 0.623 1.233 0.497	t pann		Clade V	0.731	0.677	1.080
Clade VII 0.596 0.498 1.197 Clade VIII 0.378 0.339 1.115 Clade IX 0.037 0.086 0.430 Clade I/Clade III 0.463 0.520 0.890 Clade I/Clade III 0.301 0.394 0.900 Clade I/Clade II 0.568 0.964 0.607 Clade I/Clade VI 0.567 1.054 0.633 Clade I/Clade VI 0.667 1.054 0.633 Clade I/Clade VII 0.707 1.666 0.665 Clade I/Clade VII 0.707 1.668 0.697 Clade I/Clade VII 0.707 1.638 0.590 Clade I/Clade VII 0.739 1.008 0.733 Clade I/Clade VI 0.739 1.008 0.733 Clade I/Clade VI 0.739 1.038 0.590 Clade I/Clade VII 0.739 1.038 0.592 Clade I/Clade VII 0.739 1.008 0.733 Clade I/Clade VII 0.733 1.031 0.744 Clade I/Clade VII 0.623 1.253 0.497			Clade VI	0.493	1.568	0.314
Clade VIII 0.378 0.339 1.115 Clade IX 0.037 0.086 0.430 Between clades Clade I/Clade II 0.463 0.520 0.890 Clade I/Clade II 0.363 0.934 0.990 Clade I/Clade IV 0.585 0.964 0.607 Clade I/Clade VI 1.197 1.062 1.042 Clade I/Clade VII 0.107 1.062 1.042 Clade I/Clade VII 0.107 1.062 1.042 Clade I/Clade VII 0.107 1.062 1.042 Clade I/Clade VII 0.075 1.166 0.665 Clade I/Clade VII 0.713 1.248 0.575 Clade I/Clade IX 0.731 1.248 0.575 Clade I/Clade VI 0.733 1.088 0.733 Clade I/Clade VI 0.733 1.088 0.733 Clade I/Clade VI 0.682 0.921 0.740 Clade I/Clade VI 0.682 0.921 0.740 Clade I/Clade VI 0.663 1.233 0.497 Clade I/Clade VI 0.663 1.2			Clade VII	0.596	0.498	1.197
Clade IX 0.037 0.086 0.430 Between clades Clade I/Clade II 0.430 0.520 0.890 Clade I/Clade III 0.300 0.394 0.990 Clade I/Clade III 0.585 0.964 0.607 Clade I/Clade VI 0.667 1.054 0.633 Clade I/Clade VII 0.757 1.166 0.665 Clade I/Clade VII 0.775 1.166 0.665 Clade I/Clade VII 0.703 1.248 0.575 Clade I/Clade VII 0.713 1.248 0.575 Clade I/Clade VI 0.713 1.248 0.575 Clade I/Clade VI 0.733 1.648 0.590 Clade I/Clade VI 0.733 1.648 0.590 Clade I/Clade VII 0.825 1.403 0.588 Clade I/Clade VII 0.825 1.403 0.588 Clade I/Clade VII 0.623 1.253 0.497 Clade I/I/Clade VI 0.623 1.253 0.497 Clade I/I/Clade VII <td< td=""><td></td><td>Clade VIII</td><td>0.378</td><td>0.339</td><td>1.115</td></td<>			Clade VIII	0.378	0.339	1.115
Between clades Clade I/Clade II 0.463 0.520 0.890 Clade I/Clade IV 0.585 0.964 0.607 Clade I/Clade V 1.988 1.356 0.883 Clade I/Clade VI 0.667 1.054 0.633 Clade I/Clade VII 1.107 1.062 1.042 Clade I/Clade VII 0.775 1.166 0.665 Clade I/Clade VII 0.775 1.166 0.665 Clade I/Clade VI 0.713 1.248 0.575 Clade I/Clade VI 0.713 1.248 0.575 Clade II/Clade VI 0.733 1.008 0.733 Clade II/Clade VII 0.739 1.008 0.733 Clade II/Clade VII 0.670 0.724 0.925 Clade II/Clade VII 0.682 0.921 0.740 Clade III/Clade VII </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>Clade IX</td> <td>0.037</td> <td>0.086</td> <td>0.430</td>			Clade IX	0.037	0.086	0.430
Clade I/Clade III 0.390 0.394 0.990 Clade I/Clade I/Clade I/Clade V 1.198 1.356 0.867 Clade I/Clade VI 1.067 1.044 0.633 Clade I/Clade VII 1.107 1.062 1.042 Clade I/Clade VII 0.775 1.166 0.665 Clade I/Clade VII 0.775 1.166 0.665 Clade I/Clade VII 0.713 1.248 0.575 Clade II/Clade IV 0.713 1.248 0.575 Clade II/Clade VI 0.739 1.008 0.733 Clade II/Clade VI 0.739 1.008 0.733 Clade II/Clade VII 0.825 1.403 0.588 Clade II/Clade VII 0.825 1.403 0.588 Clade II/Clade VII 0.825 1.403 0.588 Clade II/Clade VII 0.667 0.724 0.925 Clade II/Clade VII 0.825 1.403 0.588 Clade II/Clade VII 0.667 0.676 0.897 Clade II/Clade VII 0.682 0.221 0.740 Clade II/Clade VII 0.867<		Between clades	Clade I/Clade II	0.463	0.520	0.890
Clade I/Clade VI 0.585 0.964 0.607 Clade I/Clade VI 1.198 1.356 0.883 Clade I/Clade VI 0.667 1.054 0.633 Clade I/Clade VII 0.775 1.166 0.665 Clade I/Clade VII 0.775 1.166 0.665 Clade I/Clade III 0.409 0.707 0.579 Clade II/Clade IV 0.713 1.248 0.575 Clade II/Clade V 0.967 1.638 0.590 Clade II/Clade VI 0.733 1.008 0.733 Clade II/Clade VII 1.181 1.190 0.992 Clade II/Clade VII 0.825 1.030 0.588 Clade II/Clade VII 0.825 0.403 0.588 Clade II/Clade VII 0.825 0.403 0.588 Clade III/Clade VII 0.623 1.253 0.497 Clade III/Clade VII 0.623 1.253 0.497 Clade III/Clade VII 0.623 1.233 0.690 Clade III/Clade VII 0.623 1.233 0.690 Clade III/Clade VII 0.861			Clade I/Clade III	0.390	0.394	0.990
Clade I/Clade V 1.198 1.356 0.883 Clade I/Clade VI 0.667 1.054 0.633 Clade I/Clade VII 1.107 1.062 1.042 Clade I/Clade VII 0.775 1.166 0.665 Clade I/Clade IX 0.756 1.197 0.648 Clade II/Clade IV 0.797 1.579 Clade II/Clade IV 0.797 0.579 Clade II/Clade IV 0.733 1.248 0.575 Clade II/Clade VI 0.739 1.008 0.733 Clade II/Clade VI 0.739 1.008 0.733 Clade II/Clade VII 1.181 1.190 0.992 Clade II/Clade VII 0.825 1.403 0.588 Clade II/Clade VII 0.682 0.921 0.740 Clade II/Clade VII 0.825 1.403 0.588 Clade II/Clade VII 0.623 1.253 0.497 Clade II/Clade VII 0.523 1.253 0.497 Clade II/Clade VII 1.323 1.064 1.249 Clade II/Clade VII 0.323 1.064 1.249 0.690 1.377 0.654 Clade II/Clade VII			Clade I/Clade IV	0.585	0.964	0.607
Clade I/Clade VI 0.667 1.054 0.633 Clade I/Clade VII 1.107 1.062 1.042 Clade I/Clade VII 0.775 1.166 0.665 Clade I/Clade III 0.409 0.707 0.579 Clade II/Clade III 0.409 0.707 0.579 Clade II/Clade VI 0.713 1.248 0.575 Clade II/Clade VI 0.733 1.008 0.733 Clade II/Clade VI 0.739 1.008 0.733 Clade II/Clade VI 0.825 1.403 0.588 Clade II/Clade VI 0.825 1.403 0.588 Clade II/Clade VI 0.667 0.724 0.925 Clade II/Clade VI 0.623 1.253 0.497 Clade III/Clade VI 0.667 0.676 0.987 Clade III/Clade VI 0.667 0.676 0.987 Clade V//Clade VII 0.211			Clade I/Clade V	1.198	1.356	0.883
Clade I/Clade VIII 1.107 1.062 1.042 Clade I/Clade VIII 0.775 1.166 0.665 Clade I/Clade IX 0.409 0.707 0.579 Clade I/Clade II 0.409 0.707 0.579 Clade I/Clade IV 0.713 1.248 0.575 Clade I/Clade VI 0.739 1.008 0.733 Clade I/Clade VII 0.825 1.403 0.588 Clade I/Clade VII 0.825 1.403 0.578 Clade I/Clade VII 0.825 1.403 0.578 Clade I/Clade VII 0.825 1.403 0.578 Clade I/Clade VII 0.825 1.403 0.588 Clade II/Clade VII 0.825 1.403 0.574 Clade II/Clade VII 0.825 1.403 0.544 Clade II/Clade VII 0.825 1.403 0.544 Clade II/Clade VII 0.623 1.231 0.644 Clade II/Clade VII 0.831 1.219 0.650 Clade II/Clade VII 0.841 1.219 0.663 Clade II/Clade VII 0.841 1			Clade I/Clade VI	0.667	1.054	0.633
Clade I/Clade VIII 0.775 1.166 0.665 Clade I/Clade IX 0.756 1.197 0.648 Clade II/Clade II 0.409 0.707 0.579 Clade II/Clade V 0.967 1.638 0.500 Clade II/Clade VI 0.733 1.248 0.575 Clade II/Clade VI 0.739 1.008 0.733 Clade II/Clade VI 0.739 1.008 0.733 Clade II/Clade VII 0.825 1.403 0.588 Clade II/Clade VII 0.825 1.403 0.588 Clade II/Clade VII 0.662 0.921 0.740 Clade II/Clade VI 0.662 0.921 0.740 Clade II/Clade VI 0.623 1.253 0.497 Clade II/Clade VI 0.623 1.253 0.497 Clade II/Clade VI 0.667 0.676 0.896 Clade II/Clade VI 0.663 0.797 0.644 Clade II/Clade VI 0.667 0.676 0.987 Clade II/Clade VI 0.667 0.676 0.987 Clade I//Clade VI 0.667 0.67			Clade I/Clade VII	1.107	1.062	1.042
Clade I/Clade IX 0.756 1.197 0.648 Clade I/Clade III 0.409 0.707 0.579 Clade I/Clade IV 0.967 1.638 0.590 Clade I/Clade VI 0.739 1.008 0.733 Clade I/Clade VI 0.739 1.008 0.733 Clade I/Clade VII 1.181 1.190 0.992 Clade I/Clade VII 0.825 1.403 0.588 Clade II/Clade VII 0.670 0.724 0.925 Clade II/Clade IV 0.600 0.737 0.654 Clade II/Clade VII 0.623 1.253 0.497 Clade II/Clade VI 0.663 1.243 0.660 Clade II/Clade VI 0.663 0.767 0.876 Clade II/Clade VII 0.841 1.219 0.690 Clade II/Clade VII 0.867 0.676 0.877 Clade V/Clade VII 0.667 0.676<			Clade I/Clade VIII	0.775	1.166	0.665
Clade II/Clade III 0.409 0.707 0.579 Clade II/Clade IV 0.713 1.248 0.575 Clade II/Clade V 0.967 1.638 0.590 Clade II/Clade VI 0.739 1.008 0.733 Clade II/Clade VI 1.181 1.190 0.992 Clade II/Clade VIII 0.825 1.403 0.588 Clade II/Clade VIII 0.825 1.403 0.588 Clade II/Clade VII 0.663 0.921 0.740 Clade II/Clade VI 0.663 0.921 0.740 Clade II/Clade VI 0.623 1.253 0.497 Clade III/Clade VI 0.623 1.253 0.497 Clade III/Clade VII 0.841 1.219 0.690 Clade III/Clade VII 0.841 1.219 0.690 Clade II/Clade VIII 0.841 1.219 0.690 Clade II/Clade VII 0.667 0.676 0.987 Clade II/Clade VII 0.861 1.411 0.641 1.214 0.651 Clade IV/Clade VII 1.220 1.530 0.997 0.164 V			Clade I/Clade IX	0.756	1.197	0.648
Clade II/Clade IV 0.713 1.248 0.575 Clade II/Clade V 0.967 1.638 0.590 Clade II/Clade VI 0.739 1.008 0.733 Clade II/Clade VI 1.181 1.190 0.992 Clade II/Clade VII 1.81 1.190 0.922 Clade II/Clade VII 0.825 1.403 0.588 Clade II/Clade VI 0.682 0.921 0.740 Clade II/Clade V 0.900 1.377 0.654 Clade III/Clade V 0.623 1.253 0.497 Clade III/Clade VII 0.821 1.248 0.860 Clade III/Clade VII 0.841 1.219 0.660 Clade II/Clade VII 0.841 1.219 0.660 Clade II/Clade VII 0.841 1.219 0.660 Clade II/Clade VII 0.667 0.676 0.987 Clade IV/Clade VI 1.200 0.676 0.987 Clade IV/Clade VII 0.667 0.676 0.987 Clade IV/Clade VII 0.863 1.411 0.663 0.999 Clade IV/Clade VIII			Clade II/Clade III	0.409	0.707	0.579
Clade II/Clade V 0.967 1.638 0.590 Clade II/Clade VI 0.739 1.008 0.733 Clade II/Clade VII 1.181 1.190 0.992 Clade II/Clade VII 0.825 1.403 0.588 Clade II/Clade VII 0.825 1.403 0.582 Clade II/Clade VII 0.662 0.921 0.740 Clade II/Clade V 0.663 0.723 0.654 Clade II/Clade V 0.662 0.921 0.740 Clade II/Clade V 0.663 1.253 0.497 Clade II/Clade VI 0.623 1.253 0.497 Clade II/Clade VI 0.623 1.253 0.497 Clade II/Clade VI 0.623 1.253 0.497 Clade II/Clade VII 0.841 1.219 0.669 Clade II/Clade VII 0.841 1.219 0.690 Clade II/Clade VII 0.667 0.676 0.987 Clade IV/Clade VI 0.667 0.676 0.987 Clade IV/Clade VII 0.811 1.474 0.591 Clade IV/Clade VII 0.871 1			Clade II/Clade IV	0.713	1.248	0.575
Clade II/Clade VI 0.739 1.008 0.733 Clade II/Clade VII 1.181 1.190 0.992 Clade II/Clade VII 0.825 1.403 0.588 Clade II/Clade IX 0.670 0.724 0.925 Clade II/Clade IX 0.670 0.724 0.925 Clade II/Clade IV 0.682 0.921 0.740 Clade III/Clade V 0.623 1.253 0.497 Clade III/Clade VI 0.623 1.253 0.497 Clade III/Clade VII 1.323 1.064 1.243 Clade III/Clade VII 0.841 1.219 0.660 Clade II/Clade VII 0.841 0.293 0.797 Clade IV/Clade VII 0.867 0.676 0.987 Clade IV/Clade VI 0.667 0.676 0.987 Clade IV/Clade VII 0.818 1.234 0.663 Clade IV/Clade VII 0.871 1.474 0.591 Clade V/Clade VII 0.871 1.474 0.591 Clade V/Clade VII 1.027 1.160 0.885 Clade V/Clade VII 1.027			Clade II/Clade V	0.967	1.638	0.590
Clade II/Clade VII 1.181 1.190 0.992 Clade II/Clade VIII 0.825 1.403 0.588 Clade II/Clade IX 0.670 0.724 0.925 Clade II/Clade IV 0.682 0.921 0.740 Clade II/Clade V 0.600 1.377 0.654 Clade III/Clade V 0.623 1.253 0.497 Clade III/Clade VII 0.823 1.064 1.243 Clade III/Clade VII 1.323 1.064 1.243 Clade III/Clade VII 0.841 1.219 0.690 Clade III/Clade VII 0.841 1.219 0.690 Clade II/Clade VII 0.841 1.218 0.863 Clade IV/Clade VI 0.667 0.676 0.987 Clade IV/Clade VI 1.027 1.160 0.885 Clade IV/Clade VII 0.818 1.234 0.663 Clade V/Clade VII 1.027 1.160 0.885 Clade V/Clade VII 1.027 1.160 0.885 Clade V/Clade VII 1.027 1.474 0.591 Clade V/Clade VII 1.027			Clade II/Clade VI	0.739	1.008	0.733
Clade II/Clade VIII 0.825 1.403 0.588 Clade II/Clade IX 0.670 0.724 0.925 Clade II/Clade IV 0.682 0.921 0.740 Clade III/Clade V 0.900 1.377 0.654 Clade III/Clade VI 0.623 1.253 0.497 Clade III/Clade VII 1.323 1.064 1.243 Clade III/Clade VII 0.841 1.219 0.690 Clade III/Clade VII 0.841 1.219 0.690 Clade III/Clade VII 0.841 1.219 0.690 Clade II/Clade VII 0.841 1.219 0.690 Clade II/Clade VII 0.841 1.219 0.690 Clade II/Clade VII 0.841 1.219 0.690 Clade IV/Clade VI 0.667 0.676 0.987 Clade IV/Clade VI 0.667 0.676 0.987 Clade IV/Clade VII 0.818 1.234 0.663 Clade IV/Clade VII 0.818 1.234 0.663 Clade V/Clade VII 0.818 1.234 0.663 Clade V/Clade VII 1.005			Clade II/Clade VII	1.181	1.190	0.992
Clade II/Clade IX 0.670 0.724 0.925 Clade III/Clade IV 0.682 0.921 0.740 Clade III/Clade V 0.900 1.377 0.654 Clade III/Clade VI 0.623 1.253 0.497 Clade III/Clade VII 1.323 1.064 1.243 Clade III/Clade VII 0.841 1.219 0.690 Clade II/Clade VII 0.841 1.219 0.690 Clade IV/Clade VII 0.667 0.676 0.987 Clade IV/Clade VI 0.667 0.676 0.987 Clade IV/Clade VII 1.218 0.863 1.411 Clade IV/Clade VII 1.281 0.863 1.411 Clade IV/Clade VII 0.871 1.474 0.591 Clade V/Clade VII 0.818 1.234 0.663 Clade V/Clade VII 1.027 1.160 0.885 Clade V/Clade VII 1.066			Clade II/Clade VIII	0.825	1.403	0.588
Clade III/Clade IV 0.682 0.921 0.740 Clade III/Clade V 0.900 1.377 0.654 Clade III/Clade VI 0.623 1.253 0.497 Clade III/Clade VI 1.323 1.064 1.243 Clade III/Clade VII 0.841 1.219 0.690 Clade III/Clade VII 0.841 1.219 0.690 Clade III/Clade VII 0.667 0.676 0.987 Clade IV/Clade VI 0.667 0.676 0.987 Clade IV/Clade VI 1.218 0.863 1.411 Clade IV/Clade VII 0.818 1.234 0.663 Clade IV/Clade VII 0.818 1.234 0.663 Clade V/Clade VII 0.818 1.234 0.663 Clade V/Clade VII 1.027 1.160 0.885 Clade V/Clade VI 1.027 1.160 0.885 Clade V/Clade VII 1.027 1.60 0.899 Clade V/Clade VII 1.027 1.60 0.885 Clade V/Clade VII 1.027 1.60 0.843 Clade V/Clade VII 1.084 <td< td=""><td></td><td>Clade II/Clade IX</td><td>0.670</td><td>0.724</td><td>0.925</td></td<>			Clade II/Clade IX	0.670	0.724	0.925
Clade III/Clade V 0.900 1.377 0.654 Clade III/Clade VI 0.623 1.253 0.497 Clade III/Clade VII 1.323 1.064 1.243 Clade III/Clade VII 0.841 1.219 0.690 Clade III/Clade VII 0.841 1.219 0.690 Clade III/Clade IX 0.700 0.781 0.896 Clade IV/Clade V 1.220 1.530 0.797 Clade IV/Clade VI 0.667 0.676 0.987 Clade IV/Clade VI 0.667 0.676 0.987 Clade IV/Clade VII 1.218 0.863 1.411 Clade IV/Clade VII 0.871 1.474 0.591 Clade IV/Clade VII 0.871 1.474 0.591 Clade V/Clade VII 0.818 1.234 0.663 Clade V/Clade VII 1.027 1.160 0.885 Clade V/Clade VII 1.027 1.160 0.885 Clade V/Clade VII 1.027 1.603 0.999 Clade V/Clade VII 1.084 1.344 0.807 Clade V/Clade IX 0.909 <			Clade III/Clade IV	0.682	0.921	0.740
Clade III/Clade VI 0.623 1.253 0.497 Clade III/Clade VII 1.323 1.064 1.243 Clade III/Clade VII 0.841 1.219 0.690 Clade III/Clade IX 0.700 0.781 0.896 Clade IV/Clade V 1.220 1.530 0.797 Clade IV/Clade V 1.220 1.530 0.797 Clade IV/Clade VI 0.667 0.676 0.987 Clade IV/Clade VI 1.218 0.863 1.411 Clade IV/Clade VII 1.218 0.863 1.411 Clade IV/Clade VII 0.871 1.474 0.591 Clade IV/Clade VII 0.871 1.474 0.591 Clade V/Clade VII 0.871 1.474 0.591 Clade V/Clade VII 0.871 1.606 0.885 Clade V/Clade VII 1.027 1.160 0.885 Clade V/Clade VII 1.292 1.365 0.947 Clade V/Clade VII 1.084 1.344 0.807 Clade VI/Clade IX 0.909 1.414 0.643 Clade VI/Clade VII 0.806 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Clade III/Clade V</td><td>0.900</td><td>1.377</td><td>0.654</td></t<>			Clade III/Clade V	0.900	1.377	0.654
Clade III/Clade VII 1.323 1.064 1.243 Clade III/Clade VIII 0.841 1.219 0.690 Clade III/Clade IX 0.700 0.781 0.896 Clade IV/Clade V 1.220 1.530 0.797 Clade IV/Clade V 1.220 1.530 0.797 Clade IV/Clade VI 0.667 0.676 0.987 Clade IV/Clade VII 1.218 0.863 1.411 Clade IV/Clade VII 0.871 1.474 0.591 Clade IV/Clade VII 0.871 1.474 0.591 Clade IV/Clade VII 0.871 1.474 0.591 Clade V/Clade VII 1.027 1.160 0.885 Clade V/Clade VI 1.027 1.160 0.885 Clade V/Clade VII 1.027 1.608 0.999 Clade V/Clade VII 1.029 1.365 0.947 Clade V/Clade IX 0.909 1.414 0.643 Clade V/Clade IX 0.909 1.414 0.643 Clade V/Clade IX 0.909 1.414 0.643 Clade V/Clade IX 0.806 1.0			Clade III/Clade VI	0.623	1.253	0.497
Clade III/Clade VIII0.8411.2190.690Clade III/Clade IX0.7000.7810.896Clade IV/Clade V1.2201.5300.797Clade IV/Clade VI0.6670.6760.987Clade IV/Clade VII1.2180.8631.411Clade IV/Clade VII0.8711.4740.591Clade IV/Clade VIII0.8711.4740.591Clade IV/Clade VIII0.8181.2340.663Clade IV/Clade VI1.0271.1600.885Clade V/Clade VI1.0271.1600.885Clade V/Clade VII1.6061.6080.999Clade V/Clade VIII1.2921.3650.947Clade V/Clade VIII1.0841.3440.807Clade VI/Clade IX0.9091.4140.643Clade VI/Clade VIII0.8061.0120.796Clade VI/Clade VIII0.8061.0120.796Clade VI/Clade IX0.8231.0990.749Clade VII/Clade IX0.8231.0990.749Clade VII/Clade IX1.2861.0001.286Clade VII/Clade IX1.2861.0001.286Clade VII/Clade IX0.6192.8940.214			Clade III/Clade VII	1.323	1.064	1.243
Clade III/Clade IX0.7000.7810.896Clade IV/Clade V1.2201.5300.797Clade IV/Clade VI0.6670.6760.987Clade IV/Clade VII1.2180.8631.411Clade IV/Clade VII0.8711.4740.591Clade IV/Clade VIII0.8711.4740.591Clade IV/Clade VII0.8181.2340.663Clade IV/Clade VI1.0271.1600.885Clade V/Clade VI1.0271.1600.885Clade V/Clade VII1.6061.6080.999Clade V/Clade VII1.2921.3650.947Clade V/Clade VII1.0841.3440.807Clade VI/Clade IX0.9091.4140.643Clade VI/Clade VII1.0841.3440.807Clade VI/Clade IX0.8231.0990.749Clade VI/Clade IX0.8231.0990.749Clade VI/Clade IX0.8231.0090.749Clade VI/Clade IX1.2861.0001.286Clade VII/Clade IX1.2861.0001.286Clade VII/Clade IX0.6192.8940.214			Clade III/Clade VIII	0.841	1.219	0.690
Clade IV/Clade V1.2201.5300.797Clade IV/Clade VI0.6670.6760.987Clade IV/Clade VII1.2180.8631.411Clade IV/Clade VIII0.8711.4740.591Clade IV/Clade IX0.8181.2340.663Clade V/Clade IX0.8181.2340.663Clade V/Clade VI1.0271.1600.885Clade V/Clade VI1.0271.1600.885Clade V/Clade VII1.6061.6080.999Clade V/Clade VIII1.6061.6080.999Clade V/Clade VIII1.0841.3440.643Clade V/Clade IX0.9091.4140.643Clade VI/Clade VIII1.0841.3440.807Clade VI/Clade VIII0.8061.0120.796Clade VI/Clade IX0.8231.0990.749Clade VI/Clade IX0.8231.0990.749Clade VII/Clade IX1.2861.0001.286Clade VII/Clade IX1.2861.0001.286Clade VIII/Clade IX0.6192.8940.214			Clade III/Clade IX	0.700	0.781	0.896
Clade IV/Clade VI0.6670.6760.987Clade IV/Clade VII1.2180.8631.411Clade IV/Clade VIII0.8711.4740.591Clade IV/Clade IX0.8181.2340.663Clade V/Clade VI1.0271.1600.885Clade V/Clade VI1.0271.1600.885Clade V/Clade VII1.6061.6080.999Clade V/Clade VII1.6061.6080.999Clade V/Clade VII1.2921.3650.947Clade V/Clade IX0.9091.4140.643Clade V/Clade IX0.9091.4140.643Clade VI/Clade IX0.9091.4140.643Clade VI/Clade IX0.8231.0120.796Clade VI/Clade IX0.8231.0990.749Clade VII/Clade IX0.8231.0090.749Clade VII/Clade IX1.2861.0001.286Clade VII/Clade IX1.2861.0001.286Clade VII/Clade IX0.6192.8940.214			Clade IV/Clade V	1.220	1.530	0.797
Clade IV/Clade VII1.2180.8631.411Clade IV/Clade VIII0.8711.4740.591Clade IV/Clade IX0.8181.2340.663Clade V/Clade VI1.0271.1600.885Clade V/Clade VII1.6061.6080.999Clade V/Clade VIII1.2921.3650.947Clade V/Clade IX0.9091.4140.643Clade V/Clade IX0.9091.4140.643Clade VI/Clade VII1.0841.3440.807Clade VI/Clade VIII0.8061.0120.796Clade VI/Clade IX0.8231.0990.749Clade VI/Clade IX0.8231.0090.749Clade VII/Clade IX1.2861.0001.286Clade VII/Clade IX0.6192.8940.214			Clade IV/Clade VI	0.667	0.676	0.987
Clade IV/Clade VIII0.8711.4740.591Clade IV/Clade IX0.8181.2340.663Clade V/Clade VI1.0271.1600.885Clade V/Clade VII1.6061.6080.999Clade V/Clade VIII1.2921.3650.947Clade V/Clade IX0.9091.4140.643Clade V/Clade IX0.9091.4140.643Clade VI/Clade VII1.0841.3440.807Clade VI/Clade VIII0.8061.0120.796Clade VI/Clade IX0.8231.0990.749Clade VII/Clade IX0.8231.0990.749Clade VII/Clade IX1.2861.0001.286Clade VII/Clade IX0.6192.8940.214			Clade IV/Clade VII	1.218	0.863	1.411
Clade IV/Clade IX0.8181.2340.663Clade V/Clade VI1.0271.1600.885Clade V/Clade VII1.6061.6080.999Clade V/Clade VIII1.2921.3650.947Clade V/Clade IX0.9091.4140.643Clade V/Clade IX0.9091.4140.643Clade VI/Clade VII1.0841.3440.807Clade VI/Clade VIII0.8061.0120.796Clade VI/Clade IX0.8231.0990.749Clade VI/Clade IX0.8231.0990.749Clade VII/Clade IX1.2861.0001.286Clade VII/Clade IX0.6192.8940.214			Clade IV/Clade VIII	0.871	1.474	0.591
Clade V/Clade VI1.0271.1600.885Clade V/Clade VII1.6061.6080.999Clade V/Clade VIII1.2921.3650.947Clade V/Clade IX0.9091.4140.643Clade VI/Clade IX0.9091.4140.643Clade VI/Clade VII1.0841.3440.807Clade VI/Clade VIII0.8061.0120.796Clade VI/Clade IX0.8231.0990.749Clade VI/Clade IX0.8231.0990.749Clade VII/Clade IX1.2861.0001.286Clade VII/Clade IX0.6192.8940.214			Clade IV/Clade IX	0.818	1.234	0.663
Clade V/Clade VII1.6061.6080.999Clade V/Clade VIII1.2921.3650.947Clade V/Clade IX0.9091.4140.643Clade VI/Clade VII1.0841.3440.807Clade VI/Clade VIII0.8061.0120.796Clade VI/Clade IX0.8231.0990.749Clade VI/Clade IX0.8231.0990.749Clade VII/Clade IX1.2861.0001.286Clade VII/Clade IX0.6192.8940.214			Clade V/Clade VI	1.027	1.160	0.885
Clade V/Clade VIII1.2921.3650.947Clade V/Clade IX0.9091.4140.643Clade VI/Clade VII1.0841.3440.807Clade VI/Clade VIII0.8061.0120.796Clade VI/Clade IX0.8231.0990.749Clade VII/Clade IX0.8231.0990.749Clade VII/Clade IX1.2861.0001.286Clade VII/Clade IX0.6192.8940.214			Clade V/Clade VII	1.606	1.608	0.999
Clade V/Clade IX0.9091.4140.643Clade VI/Clade VII1.0841.3440.807Clade VI/Clade VIII0.8061.0120.796Clade VI/Clade IX0.8231.0990.749Clade VII/Clade VIII1.0271.4920.688Clade VII/Clade IX1.2861.0001.286Clade VIII/Clade IX0.6192.8940.214			Clade V/Clade VIII	1.292	1.365	0.947
Clade VI/Clade VII1.0841.3440.807Clade VI/Clade VIII0.8061.0120.796Clade VI/Clade IX0.8231.0990.749Clade VII/Clade VIII1.0271.4920.688Clade VII/Clade IX1.2861.0001.286Clade VIII/Clade IX0.6192.8940.214			Clade V/Clade IX	0.909	1.414	0.643
Clade VI/Clade VIII0.8061.0120.796Clade VI/Clade IX0.8231.0990.749Clade VII/Clade VIII1.0271.4920.688Clade VII/Clade IX1.2861.0001.286Clade VIII/Clade IX0.6192.8940.214			Clade VI/Clade VII	1.084	1.344	0.807
Clade VI/Clade IX0.8231.0990.749Clade VII/Clade VIII1.0271.4920.688Clade VII/Clade IX1.2861.0001.286Clade VIII/Clade IX0.6192.8940.214			Clade VI/Clade VIII	0.806	1.012	0.796
Clade VII/Clade VIII 1.027 1.492 0.688 Clade VII/Clade IX 1.286 1.000 1.286 Clade VIII/Clade IX 0.619 2.894 0.214			Clade VI/Clade IX	0.823	1.099	0.749
Clade VII/Clade IX 1.286 1.000 1.286 Clade VIII/Clade IX 0.619 2.894 0.214			Clade VII/Clade VIII	1.027	1.492	0.688
Clade VIII/Clade IX 0.619 2.894 0.214			Clade VII/Clade IX	1.286	1.000	1.286
			Clade VIII/Clade IX	0.619	2.894	0.214

homologous sequences were also identified between monocots and dicots (Arecaceae–Brassicaceae and Poaceae–Brassicaceae).

Expression analysis of four NBS sequences of coconut palms infected with LY-phytoplasma

For the investigation of gene expression in the field, four NBS sequences previously reported by our group (Puch-Hau et al. 2015) were randomly selected: CnRGC22, CnRGC42, CnRGC46 and CnRGC121. Symptomatic palms showing disease stages 1 and 2 and asymptomatic palms were collected in the locality of San Crisanto, Yucatan, Mexico (21°22'N, 89°00'W). To confirm whether these palms were infected, extracts from trunks were analyzed using an LY 16S TaqMan/Real-time PCR assay (Córdova et al. 2014). The three symptomatic palms tested positive for the LY-phytoplasma, and the asymptomatics tested negative (Table 5).

Because field conditions are highly variable, in vitro plantlets were used as controls for expression. The expression profiles of four genes were evaluated in the three different tissues (leaf, stem and root) of symptomatic and asymptomatic coconut palms. The CnRGC22 gene was highly expressed in the stem tissue of diseased coconut palms, while no changes were observed in the three tissues analyzed from healthy palms. The same pattern was observed in the three evaluated palms. CnRGC42 had the highest expression level in the root tissues of LY-affected coconut palms, while only a minor change in the expression was observed in stem tissues of healthy palms. CnRGC46 had an elevated expression level in the leaf tissues of LY-affected coconut palms compared to healthy palms. However, in healthy palms, CnRGC46 showed a similar pattern in all of the three analyzed tissues. Interestingly, CnRGC121 was highly expressed in roots of healthy palms, and the same pattern was observed in the three analyzed coconut palms. However, in LY-affected palms, CnRGC121 was highly expressed in leaf and stem tissues, but not in root tissues. This profile was observed in two coconut palms for leaf tissues and three for stem tissues. No changes were observed for in vitro plantlets in the expression of these four sequences (Fig. 4). These results show that the NBS sequences modify their expression during plant-phytoplasma interactions.

Discussion

The NBS-LRR genes play a vital role in protecting plants from the attacks of various pathogens. Many studies of NBS-LRR genes have been reported in several plant species (Meyers et al. 2003; Porter et al. 2009; Li et al. 2010; Lozano et al. 2015); however, only two studies of NBS sequences have been reported in coconut palms (Puch-Hau et al. 2015; Rajesh et al. 2015). These studies only focused on cloning and characterization, but studies of diversity, distribution and phylogenetic relationships of NBS sequences of coconut palms with species of the Arecales, Poales and Brassicales have not been reported.

The number of these genes always varies in different plants, including in plants within the same family or genus. For example, in the grass species, the numbers are quite different; they range from approximately 100 in maize to 500 in rice (Li et al. 2010; Luo et al. 2012), while the numbers in Brassicales range from 92 in Brassica rapa to 149 in Arabidopsis thaliana. In palms, of the two sequenced genomes, only 144 NBS genes have been reported in Phoenix dactylifera, and there are no precise data for these genes in the genome of Elaeis guineensis. In coconut palms, we identified a total of 231 NBS sequences, of which 169 were reported in a previous study (143 with ORFs, and 23 with stop codons) (Puch-Hau et al. 2015), and 62 were reported in this study (53 with ORFs, and 9 with stop codons); however, the total number of NBS genes in its genome remains unknown because it has not been sequenced. Comparative genomic analyses indicate that the number of R genes neither increases nor decreases in proportion to genome size (Wan et al. 2012). Therefore, the likely number of NBS genes is higher in the genome of the coconut palm compared with date palm, but this could be due more to the difference in the genome sizes (~ 2.5 Gb for the coconut palm and ~ 671 Mb for the date palm) (Al-Mssallem et al. 2013; Alsaihati et al. 2014) than to the nature of the selective pressure that is imposed by their pathogens as well as to their very different life histories.

Transcriptome sequencing of coconut palms provides abundant sequence information for identifying the decisive role of these genes in individual tissues for conferring resistance against pathogens. We identified NBS transcripts from the transcriptome reported by Huang et al. (2014) in the embryo, endosperm and leaf tissues of healthy coconut palms (Table 1). All the transcripts were different in each tissue (Fig. 1). These results indicate a tissue-specific expression, and the basal expression observed in three tissues are consistent with the need to activate a rapid response to a pathogen attack. Interestingly, the expression observed in the embryo may indicate that the defense mechanisms are preformed long before germination occurs in the coconut palm.

Comparison of NBS sequences of coconut palm with those of other Arecales indicates the existence of specific clades for *Cocos nucifera*. Genetically defined clusters of R genes usually result from tandem duplications of paralogous genes and have been observed in NBS–LRR encoded in the Arabidopsis and rice genomes (Richly et al.



◄ Fig. 3 Neighbor-joining tree showing the phylogenetic relationship among RGCs from different species. The complete tree was based on 434 sequences. The species-specific, family-specific and complex clades were collapsed into single branches and are show in different *colors*. The number on the branches indicates the percentages of 1000 bootstrap replications and only those with >50% support are shown. APAF-1 was used as an outgroup

2002; Michelmore and Meyers 1998; Zhu et al. 2002; Meyers et al. 2003; Baumgarten et al. 2003; Zhou et al. 2004). In addition, several clades include a mixture of NBS sequences from *Elaeis guineensis* and *Phoenix dactylifera*, indicating that similar resistance genes are still shared in different genera of the Arecales.

The variation of RGC family size between species of Arecales could be attributed to gene duplication, deletion, pseudogenization, and functional diversification (Seoighe and Gehring 2004; Demuth and Hahn 2009). The nature of selective pressure imposed by their pathogens is expected to be diverse; therefore, the selection is responsible for the drastic variations in the numbers of NBS genes. The existence of specific clades is understandable because species-specific pathogens are by definition different. In this scenario, the rapid expansion and/or contraction is a fundamentally important strategy for a species to adapt to the rapidly changing spectrum of pathogens.

Subsequently, when we calculated the K_a/K_s values among sequences of the same clade and sequences of different clades, we could identify >1 values, suggesting that positive selection is the force that directs the evolution of new R genes. However, values <1 were also obtained, indicating that they were under negative or diversifying selection. Our results suggest that NBS sequences of coconut palms are under selective constraints that provide further evidence consistent with a birth-and-death model of *R* gene evolution.

To infer the evolutionary history among NBS sequences of the Arecales family with respect to Poales and Brassicales, we built a phylogenetic tree. Of the NBS clusters in these three families, the majority of speciesspecific clades (5 clades) were identified in the coconut palm. These clusters frequently consist of tandem

Table 4 Organization of NBS sequences among species of Arecales, Poales and Brassicales

Type of clade	Arecaceae			Poaceae		Brassicaceae			Total
	Cn	Pd	i Eg	Os	Sb	At	Br	Во	
Species-specific									
No. of clades	5	1	0	4	6	0	1	0	17
No. of genes	30	11	0	5	7	0	1	0	54
Type of clade	Arecaceae	;			Poaceae	Brassica	ceae		Total
	Cn–Pd	Cn–Eg	Pd–Eg	Cn–Pd–EG	Os–Sb	At–Br	Br–Bo	At-Br-Bo	
Family-specific									
No. of clades	0	0	3	5	13	0	1	2	24
No. of genes	0	0	11 + 6	51 + 27 + 25	55 + 41	0	2 + 1	17 + 17 + 2	255
Type of clades	Arecaceae-	Poaceae	Arecaceae–Br	assicaceae Po	oaceae–Brassica	ceae Are	ecaceae–Poa	ceae–Brassicaceae	Total
Complex clades									
No. of clade	9		3	2		0			14
No. of genes	41 + 34		26 + 20	6	+ 2	0			129

Cn Cocos nucifera, Sb Sorghum bicolor, Pd Phoenix dactylifera, At Arabidopsis thaliana, Eg Elaeis guineensis, Bn Brassica napus, Os Oriza sativa, Bo Brassica oleracea

Table 5	Detection of	f LY-phytopl	asma DNA f	from leaf	tissues of	asymptomatic	and symptomatic	coconut palms
---------	--------------	--------------	------------	-----------	------------	--------------	-----------------	---------------

Asymptomatic	LY 16S TaqMan/real-time PCR assay	Symptomatic	LY 16S TaqMan/real-time PCR assay
Palm1	ND	Palm1	20.82 (positive)
Palm2	ND	Palm2	22.32 (positive)
Palm3	ND	Palm3	20.60 (positive)

ND not detected



Fig. 4 Relative transcript levels of four CnRGCs obtained from quantitative real-time PCR experiments in different tissues of healthy coconut palms and those affected with lethal yellowing disease. *Black bars*: LY- coconut palms, gray bars: healthy coconut palm and *white*

duplications of the same species (Leister 2004; Yang et al. 2008). Family-specific clades were also identified. Five were in Arecales, suggesting the monophyletic origin of the three species and strong conservation of some NBS sequences in these plants, as has been reported in other species of Poaceae and Rosaceae (Yang et al. 2008; Gu

bars: in vitro coconut palm plantlets. *R* Root, *S* Stem and *L* Leaf. 18S rRNA was used as a reference gene for the expression analysis in coconut palm. The analysis was conducted in three independent palms for each condition (each sample was taken in triplicate)

et al. 2015). Family-specific NBS sequences may also exhibit restricted taxonomic functionality, as shown by the cloned R gene Bs2 from pepper and RPS2 from *Arabidopsis*, which function in related species within the same family but not in species outside of the family (Tai et al. 1999).

Heterogeneous clusters (complex clades) in which sequences belong to different phylogenetic lineages are also present. The major phylogenetic relationships were identified among monocot species (9 clades between Arecales and Poales). However, a phylogenetic relationship among NBS sequences of monocot and dicot species were also found (3 clades between Arecaceae and Brassicaceae and 2 clades between Poaceae and Brassicaceae). This result supports the proposal by Cannon et al. (2002) that there are several ancient clades of NBS sequences and that some of these predate the split between monocots and dicots.

The NBS-LRR resistance genes are involved in conferring resistance to a wide variety of pathogens and pests including viruses, bacteria, fungi, nematodes and insects (Dangl and Jones 2001). However, to our knowledge, there are no reports of R genes conferring resistance to phytoplasma even when phytoplasma effectors in plants have been characterized (Sugio et al. 2011). To evaluate the possible role of these genes against LY-phytoplasma, we selected four random sequences, and the gene profile expression was analyzed in healthy palms and those palms infected with LY-phytoplasma. The results showed that in diseased palms, all four genes modified their gene expression at the tissue level (CnRGC22 in stems, CnRGC42 in roots, CnRGC46 in leaves, and CnRGC121 in both leaves and stems) compared to healthy palms. Moreover, the tissue-specific expression profile could be associated with pathogen distribution, as has been reported by Córdova et al. (2014). Interestingly CnRGC121 was found to be differentially expressed in the root tissue of healthy coconut palms compared to the diseased palms.

In conclusion, this paper examined the NBS sequences of coconut palms and other Arecaceae species to reveal the evolutionary history of these genes. Phylogenetic reconstruction in the Arecaceae family revealed specific clades of NBS sequences for coconut palm indicating genusspecific evolution of resistance genes. However, strikingly similar NBS sequences were shared in different species of coconut palms, date palms, and oil palms, thus highlighting a monophyletic origin of these three genera and the high conservation of some RGC in these palms. The phylogenetic relationship with the Brassicaceae family suggests that the RGC genes originated before monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous classes split. The expression profile of four sequences in healthy palms and those infected with LY-phytoplasma provides the first evidence of the role of NBS genes during plant-phytoplasma interactions. Importantly, pathogen-responsive NBS-LRR genes identified in the present study may be used as candidate genes for engineering pathogen resistance in coconut palm as well as other related species.

Acknowledgments Funding of the research reported here was provided by CONACyT, México (Grant No. CB 129717). Carlos Puch-Hau and Manuel Gongora thank CONACyT for the scholarship awarded No. 47245 and 265354, respectively.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- Al-Mssallem IS, Hu S, Zhang X, Lin Q, Liu W, Tan J, Yu X, Liu J, Pan L et al (2013) Genome sequence of the date palm *Phoenix dactylifera* L. Nat Commun 4:2274
- Alsaihati B, Lin Q, Al-Mssallem IS (2014) Coconut Genome de novo Sequencing. Plant & animal genome XXII. Conference San Diego
- Baumgarten A, Cannon S, Spangler R, May G (2003) Genome-level evolution of resistance genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Genetics 165:309–319
- Belkhadir Y, Nimchuk Z, Hubert D, Mackey D, Dangl J (2004) Arabidopsis RIN4 negatively regulates disease resistance mediated by RPS2 and RPM1 downstream or independent of the NDR1 signal modulator and is not required for the virulence functions of bacterial type III effectors AvrRpt2 or AvrRpm1. Plant Cell 16:2822–2835
- Bouktila D, Khalfallah Y, Habachi-Houimli Y, Mezghani-Khemakhem M, Makni M, Makni H (2015) Full-genome identification and characterization of NBS-encoding disease resistance genes in wheat. Mol Genet Genomics 290:257–271
- Cannon SB, Zhu H, Baumgarten AM, Spangler R, May G, Cook DR, Young ND (2002) Diversity, distribution, and ancient taxonomic relationships within the TIR and Non-TIR NBS–LRR resistance gene subfamilies. J Mol Evol 54:548–562
- Córdova I, Oropeza C, Puch-Hau C, Harrison N, Collí-Rodríguez A, Narvaez M, Nic-Matos G, Reyes C, Sáenz L (2014) A real-time pcr assay for detection of coconut lethal yellowing phytoplasmas of group 16SrIV subgroups A, D and E found in the Americas. J Plant Pathol 96:343–352
- Dangl L, Jones J (2001) Plant pathogens and integrated defense responses to infection. Nature 411:826–833
- Demuth J, Hahn M (2009) The life and death of gene families. BioEssays 31:29-39
- Eeuwens C (1976) Mineral requirements for growth and callus initiation of tissue explants excised from mature coconut palms (*Cocos nucifera*) and date (*Phoenix dactylifera*) palm cultured in vitro. Physiol Plant 42:173–178
- Ellis J, Lawrence G, Luck J, Dodds P (1999) Identification of regions in alleles of the flax rust resistance gene L that determine differences in gene-for-gene specificity. Plant Cell 11:495–506
- Fan H, Xiao Y, Yang Y, Xia W, Mason AS et al (2013) RNA-Seq analysis of *Cocos nucifera*: transcriptome sequencing and subsequent functional genomics approaches. PLoS One 8:e59997
- Foan C, Lee Y, Tan J, Alwee S (2012) Amplification and sequencing of partial-length disease resistance gene homologues coding for NBS–LRR proteins in oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*). Asia Pac J Mol Biol Biotechnol 20:25–31
- Gitau CW, Gurr GM, Dewhurst CF, Fletcher MJ, Mitchell A (2009) Insect pests and insect-vectored diseases of palms. Aust J Entomol 48:328–342
- Griffith R (1987) Red ring disease of coconut palm. Plant Dis 71:193–196

- Gu L, Si W, Zhao L, Yang S, Zhang X (2015) Dynamic evolution of NBS–LRR genes in bread wheat and its progenitors. Mol Genet Genomics 290:727–738
- Hanold D, Randles J (1991) Coconut cadang-cadang disease and its viroid agent. Plant Dis 75:330–335
- Harrison N, Oropeza C (2008) Coconut lethal yellowing. In: Harrison NA, Rao GP, Marcone C (eds) Characterization, diagnosis and management of phytoplasmas. Studium Press LLC, Houston, pp 219–248
- Harrison N, Richardson P, Kramer J, Tsai JH (1994) Detection of the mycoplasma like organism associated with lethal yellowing disease of palms in Florida by polymerase chain reaction. Plant Pathol 43:998–1008
- Howard FW, Barrant CI (1989) Questions and answers about lethal yellowing disease. Principes 33:163–171
- Huang Y, Lee CP, Fu FL, Chang B, Matzke A (2014) De novo transcriptome sequence assembly from coconut leaves and seeds with a focus on factors involved in RNA-directed DNA methylation. G3 Genes Genom Genet 4:2147–2157
- Hulbert S, Webb C, Smith S, Sun Q (2001) Resistance gene complexes: evolution and utilization. Annu Rev Phytopathol 39:285–312
- Jones D, Jones J (1997) The role of leucine-rich repeat proteins in plant defenses. Adv Bot Res 24:89–167
- Khan A, Khan A, Azhar M, Amrao L, Cheema H (2015) Comparative analysis of resistance gene analogues encoding NBS–LRR domains in cotton. J Sci Food Agric 96:530–538
- Larkin M, Blackshields G, Brown N, Chenna R, McGettigan P, McWilliam H, Valentin F, Wallace I, Wilm A, Lopez A, Thompson J, Gibson T, Higgins D (2007) ClustalW and Clustal X version 2.0. Bioinformatics 23:2947–2948
- Leister D (2004) Tandem and segmental gene duplication and recombination in the evolution of plant disease resistance genes. Trends Genet 20:116–122
- Li J, Ding J, Zhang W, Zhang Y, Tang P, Chen JQ, Tian D, Yang S (2010) Unique evolutionary pattern of numbers of gramineous NBS–LRR genes. Mol Genet Genomics 283:427–438
- Livak K, Schmittgen T (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method. Methods 25:402–408
- Lozano R, Hamblin M, Prochnik S, Jannink J (2015) Identification and distribution of the NBS–LRR gene family in the Cassava genome. BMC Genomics 16:360
- Luo S, Zhang Y, Hu Q, Chen J, Li K, Lu C, Liu H, Wang W, Kuang H (2012) Dynamic nucleotide-binding site and leucine-rich repeatencoding genes in the grass family. Plant Physiol 159:197–210
- Martínez M, López-Solanilla E, Rodríguez-Palenzuela P, Carbonero P, Díaz I (2003) Inhibition of plant-pathogenic fungi by the barley cystatin Hv-CPI (gene Icy) is not associated with its cysteine-proteinase inhibitory properties. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 16:876–883
- McCoy R, Howard F, Tsai J, Donselman H, Thomas D, Basham H, Atilano R, Eskafi F, Britt L, Collins M (1983) Lethal yellowing of palms. Florida Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin 834, Gainesville
- Meyers B, Dickerman A, Michelmore R, Sivaramakrishnan S, Sobral B, Young N (1999) Plant disease resistance genes encode members of an ancient and diverse protein family within the nucleotide-binding superfamily. Plant J 20:317–332
- Meyers B, Kozik A, Griego A, Kuang H, Michelmore R (2003) Genome-wide analysis of NBS–LRR—encoding genes in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 15:809–834
- Meyers B, Kaushik S, Nandety R (2005) Evolving disease resistance genes. Curr Opin Plant Biol 8:129–134

- Michelmore R, Meyers B (1998) Clusters of resistance genes in plants evolve by divergent selection and birth-and-death process. Genome Res 8:1113–1130
- Montero-Cortes M, Saenz L, Cordova I, Quiroz A, Verdeil J, Oropeza C (2010) GA₃ stimulate the formation and germination of somatic embryos and the expression of a KNOTTED-like homeobox gene of *Cocos nucifera* (L.). Plant Cell Rep 29:1049–1059
- Nei M, Gojobori T (1986) Simple methods for estimating the numbers of synonymous and nonsynonymous nucleotide substitutions. Mol Biol Evol 3:418–426
- Nejat N, Cahill DM, Vadamalai G, Ziemann M, Rookes J, Naderali N (2015) Transcriptomics-based analysis using RNA-Seq of the coconut (*Cocos nucifera*) leaf in response to yellow decline phytoplasma Infection. Mol Genet Genomics 290:1899–1910
- Parthasarathy MV, van Slobbe WG, Soudant C (1978) Hartrot or fatal wilt of palms. Coconuts (*Cocos nucifera*). Principes 22:3–14
- Porter B, Paidi M, Ming R, Alam M, Nishijima W, Zhu Y (2009) Genome-wide analysis of *Carica papaya* reveals a small NBS resistance gene family. Mol Genet Genomics 281:609–626
- Puch-Hau C, Oropeza-Salín C, Peraza-Echeverría S, Gongora-Paredes M, Córdova-Lara I, Narvaez-Cab M, Zizumbo-Villareal D, Sáenz-Carbonell L (2015) Molecular cloning and characterization of disease-resistance gene candidates of the nucleotide binding site (NBS) type from *Cocos nucifera* L. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 89:87–96
- Rajesh M, Rachana K, Naganeeswaran S, Shafeeq R, Thomas R, Shareefa M, Merin B, Anitha K (2015) Identification of expressed resistance gene analog sequences in coconut leaf transcriptome and their evolutionary analysis. Turk J Agric For 39:1–14
- Richly E, Kurth J, Leister D (2002) Mode of amplification and reorganization of resistance genes during recent *Arabidopsis thaliana* evolution. Mol Biol Evol 19:76–84
- Rohde W, Randles JW, Langridge P, Hanold D (1990) Nucleotide sequence of a circular single stranded DNA associated with coconut foliar decay virus. Virol 176:648–651
- Saitou N, Nei M (1987) The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol Biol Evol 4:406–425
- Seoighe C, Gehring C (2004) Genome duplication led to highly selective expansion of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* proteome. Trends Genet 20:461–464
- Shang J, Tao Y, Chen X, Zou Y, Lei C, Wang J, Li X, Zhao X, Zhang M, Lu Z, Xu J, Cheng Z, Wan J, Zhu L (2009) Identification of a new rice blast resistance gene, Pid3, by genome wide comparison of paired nucleotide-binding site leucine-rich repeat genes and their pseudogene alleles between the two sequenced rice genomes. Genetics 182:1303–1311
- Sugio A, MacLean A, Kingdom H, Grieve V, Manimekalai R, Hogenhout S (2011) Diverse targets of phytoplasma effectors: from plant development to defense against insects. Annu Rev Phytopathol 49:175–195
- Tai T, Dahlbeck D, Clark E, Gajiwala P, Pasion R, Whalen M, Stall R, Staskawicz B (1999) Expression of the *Bs2* pepper gene confers resistance to bacterial spot disease in tomato. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96:14153–14158
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S (2013) MEGA6: molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 6.0. Mol Biol Evol 30:2725–2729
- Wan H, Yuan W, Ye Q, Wang R, Ruan M, Li Z, Zhou G, Yao Z, Zhao J, Liu S, Yang Y (2012) Analysis of TIR- and non-TIR-NBS LRR disease resistance gene analogous in pepper: characterization, genetic variation, functional divergence and expression patters. BMC Genom 13:1–15

- Wan H, Yuan W, Bo K, Shen J, Pang X, Chen J (2013) Genome-wide analysis of NBS-encoding disease resistance in *Cucumis sativus* and phylogenetic study of NBS-encoding genes in *Cucurbitaceae* crops. BMC Genom 14:109
- Yang S, Zhang X, Yue J, Tian D, Chen J (2008) Recent duplications dominate NBS-encoding gene expansion in two woody species. Mol Genet Genomics 280:187–198
- Zhou T, Wang Y, Chen JQ, Araki H, Jing Z, Jiang K, Shen J, Tian D (2004) Genome-wide identification of NBS genes in japonica

rice reveals significant expansion of divergent non-TIR NBS–LRR genes. Mol Genet Genomics 271:402–415

Zhu H, Cannon S, Young N, Cook D (2002) Phylogeny and genomic organization of the TIR and non-TIR NBS–LRR resistance gene family in *Medicago truncatula*. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 15:529–539