

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Electronic Journal of Biotechnology





### Research article

# Cloning and molecular characterization of a putative habanero pepper *SERK1* cDNA expressed during somatic and zygotic embryogenesis



## Doribet Jiménez-Guillen<sup>a</sup>, Daniel Pérez-Pascual<sup>a</sup>, Ramón Souza-Perera<sup>b</sup>, José Juan Zúñiga Aguilar<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Instituto Tecnológico Superior de los Ríos, Km 3 carretera Balancán-Villahermosa, Balancán 86930, Tabasco, Mexico

<sup>b</sup> Unidad de Bioquímica y Biología Molecular de Plantas, Centro de Investigación Científica de Yucatán, Calle 43 No. 130, Col. Chuburná de Hidalgo, Mérida 97200, Yucatán, Mexico

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 10 March 2019 Accepted 31 July 2019 Available online 6 August 2019

Keywords: Arabidopsis Biotechnological tools Capsicum annuum Capsicum chinense Cell differentiation Gene expression in vitro cell proliferation Peppers Recalcitrant species Solanaceae Somatic embryogenesis

#### ABSTRACT

*Background:* Plant gene homologs that control cell differentiation can be used as biotechnological tools to study the *in vitro* cell proliferation competence of tissue culture-recalcitrant species such as peppers. It has been demonstrated that *SERK1* homologs enhance embryogenic competence when overexpressed in transformed tissues; therefore, cloning of a pepper *SERK1* homolog was performed to further evaluate its biotechnological potential.

*Results*: A *Capsicum chinense SERK* full-length cDNA (*CchSERK1*) was cloned and characterized at the molecular level. Its deduced amino acid sequence exhibits high identity with sequences annotated as *SERK1* and predicted-*SERK2* homologs in the genomes of the *Capsicum annuum* CM-334 and Zunla-1 varieties, respectively, and with *SERK1* homologs from members of the Solanaceae family. Transcription of *CchSERK1* in plant tissues, measured by quantitative RT-PCR, was higher in stems, flowers, and roots but lower in leaves and floral primordia. During seed development, *CchSERK1* was transcribed in all zygotic stages, with higher expression at 14 days post anthesis. During somatic embryogenesis, *CchSERK1* was transcribed at all differentiation stages, with a high increment in the heart stage and lower levels at the torpedo/cotyledonal stages.

*Conclusion:* DNA sequence alignments and gene expression patterns suggest that *CchSERK1* is the *C. chinense SERK1* homolog. Significant levels of *CchSERK1* transcripts were found in tissues with cell differentiation activities such as vascular axes and during the development of zygotic and somatic embryos. These results suggest that *CchSERK1* might have regulatory functions in cell differentiation and could be used as a biotechnological tool to study the recalcitrance of peppers to proliferate *in vitro*.

**How to cite:** Jiménez-Guillen D, Pérez-Pascual D, Souza-Perera R, et al. Cloning and molecular characterization of a putative Habanero pepper SERK1 cDNA expressed during somatic and zygotic embryogenesis. Electron J Biotechnol 2019;41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejbt.2019.07.006.

© 2019 Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

#### 1. Introduction

According to the Product Complexity Index [1], peppers are among the world's most traded products mainly because of their high pungency levels, with habanero pepper (*Capsicum chinense* Jacq.) being one of the most pungent varieties [2]. Peppers belong to a genus in which all species are recalcitrant to *in vitro* proliferation through tissue culture techniques. Limitations in regenerating whole plants from a cell, tissue, and organ explants have delayed the biotechnological breeding of pepper species [3]. Most pepper regeneration and genetic transformation protocols are not

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: jjzuniga007@gmail.com (J.J.Z. Aguilar).

Peer review under responsibility of Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso.

reproducible or cannot be applied to other species of the genus or are based in the *in vitro* regeneration of shoots [4,5]. On the other hand, enhancement of the regeneration competence in peppers through the embryogenic pathway has been achieved by the overexpression of master genes that regulate cell differentiation. Transient induction of the *Brassica napus* BABY BOOM gene in pepper transgenic plants produced a large number of somatic embryos that could be converted readily to seedlings [6]. In addition, the ectopic induction of an *Arabidopsis thaliana* gene encoding a Wuschel transcription factor in the transgenic hypocotyl explants of pepper promoted the development of embryo globular structures [7]. Other regulatory genes such as the *Somatic Embryogenesis Receptor-like Kinase* 1 from *Coffea canephora* (*CcSERK1*) lead to an increase in the somatic embryogenesis competence when overexpressed ectopically and also induced the transcription of developmental regulatory genes [8].

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejbt.2019.07.006

0717-3458/© 2019 Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

SERK1 homologs are broadly present in monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants as members of a multigene family (SERK1, SERK2, etc.) with different functions [9]; however, all the SERK1 homologs have in common their accurate expression patterns in tissues with high cell differentiation activity. For example, AtSERK1 is expressed during megasporogenesis and in all cells of the embryonic sac until the fertilization [10]. Further, during somatic embryogenesis, SERK1 homologs analyzed are expressed in embryogenic cells and embryo structures but not in non-embryogenic tissues [10,11,12]. SERK1 homologs are consistently expressed in somatic cells displaying embryogenic competence and later during early stages of zygotic and somatic embryogenesis [13]; these expression patterns have led to the proposal of SERK1 as the best molecular marker of embryogenic competence [14].

As the transient overexpression of the C. canephora SERK1 gene homolog during somatic embryogenesis promoted the differential expression of key cell differentiation regulatory genes, including LEC1, BBM, and WUS [8], it is possible that the SERK1 product functions as a master coregulator of cell differentiation that could be used to study cell proliferation in vitro. Despite the large number of SERK1 homologs isolated to date, no *SERK1* homologs from pepper have been cloned. Recently, the publication of the DNA genome sequences from three Capsicum annuum varieties has been reported online. Analyses of the Zunla-1 and CM-334 pepper varieties predicted the existence of SERK1 homologs; however, only the predicted SERK2 homolog in the Zunla-1 variety and the SERK1 homolog in the CM-334 variety have been annotated, with no supporting experimental data. Because cloning of the SERK1 homolog from peppers could be potentially exploited as a biotechnological tool to promote cell proliferation competence in these recalcitrant species, the objective of this work was to clone the SERK1 full-length cDNA homolog from habanero pepper (C. chinense Jacq.) and to characterize it at the molecular level as a way to understand its possible role during the zygotic and somatic embryogenesis.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Plant material and growth conditions

Habanero pepper plants of an orange Criollo variety were grown in pots under greenhouse conditions. Plant tissues (floral bud, flower, stem, root, and leaf) were collected at different stages of development, frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen, and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until needed for RNA extraction.

#### 2.2. Habanero pepper somatic and zygotic embryogenesis

Direct somatic embryogenesis of habanero pepper was conducted with modifications to the method reported by Avilés-Viñas et al. [15]. In brief, hypocotyls were excised from 15-day-old *in vitro* germinated pepper seedlings (MS salts supplemented with 1.1  $\mu$ M GA3, 3% sucrose, 0.2% Gelrite, pH 5.8) and incubated in an embryogenesis induction medium (MS salts supplemented with 142.36  $\mu$ M cysteine-HCl, 554.93  $\mu$ M myo-inositol, 29.64  $\mu$ M thiamine, 4.50  $\mu$ M 2,4-dichlorophenoxiacetic acid, 3% sucrose, pH 5.8) at 25  $\pm$  2°C under constant agitation (55 rpm) and light. Embryos at the globular, heart, torpedo, and hypocotyl developmental stages were collected within a period of 30–55 days after the initiation of culture.

To analyze *SERK1* expression during zygotic embryogenesis, tissues were collected at different developmental stages of the fruit [flower at anthesis; the whole fruit buds at 1 day after pollination (DAP); and whole seeds, placenta, and pericarp at 4, 10, 14, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, and 45 days after anthesis], frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen, and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until they were processed for RNA extraction.

#### 2.3. Cloning of the habanero pepper SERK1 full-length cDNA

The CchSERK1 full-length cDNA was cloned according to the protocol described by Pérez-Pascual et al. [8]. In brief, the SMARTer<sup>™</sup> RACE cDNA protocol was performed using total RNA isolated from fullyopened flowers, following the manufacturer's instructions (Clontech, California, USA). A 927 bp cDNA (GenBank accession CAP15761) previously cloned in our lab with degenerate primers (Table 1) was used as the RACE template. After in silico assembling and corroboration of the DNA sequence identities of the 5' and 3' clones in databases (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ BLASTX/), specific primers (Table 1), directed against the 5' and 3' ends, were designed to amplify the CcSERK1 full-length cDNA by RT-PCR assays using Advantage 2 Polymerase Mix (Clontech, California, USA) and PCR conditions as follows: initial denaturation step at 95°C for 1 min; then 94°C for 30 s, 66°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 3 min, 30 cycles; and a final extension step at 72°C for 10 min. The identity of the cloned RT-PCR product was corroborated by DNA sequencing (Davis Sequencing, Piscataway, NJ) and sequence analysis using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (http://blast.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

#### 2.4. Phylogenetic analysis

The deduced amino acid sequence of *CchSERK1* was obtained using the Expasy Translate Tool, an online service of the Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics (http://expasy.org/tools/dna.html). The sequence of the putative open reading frame was used to run a BLAST (Basic Local 7 Alignment Search Tool, NCBI) analysis to further corroborate the identity of the *CchSERK1* encoded protein, and then, its amino acid sequence was used to run a phylogenetic analysis with the most similar amino acid sequences reported, the five *SERK* Arabidopsis homologs, and the two *SERK* homologs annotated in the pepper genome sequences, using the 14 MEGA 7 program (http://www. megasoftware.net), the neighbor-joining (NJ) test, and the p-distance method 16 with 1000 bootstrap repeats.

#### 2.5. Real-time quantitative analysis of gene expression

Total RNA was extracted from the corresponding tissue using an RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Synthesis of cDNA was performed with 300 ng of total RNA isolated from specific adult organs of the plant, whole seeds at different stages of development, or isolated embryos following the directions of the Maxima H Minus First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit and dsDNase cat#K1681 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, California, USA). Analyses of relative gene expression were performed by quantitative real-time PCR using the Luminaris HiGreen qPCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, California, USA) and the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  method [16] in a Rotor-

Table 1				
Oligonucleotide	primers empl	oyed in the	RT-PCR exp	periments.

Amplification type	Primer name	DNA sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')$
Degenerate RT-PCR	SecoDir2	GTCTTGCAGAGTTGGGATCCYAC
	SecoRev4	CCRTTAGCCATGTADGG
3' RACE	CchSERK1-For.1	TTCACCGGTCCCATCCCGACTTC
	CchSERK1-Rev1	TGGCGGTGGTGGAACAAATGGAG
5' RACE	CchSERK1-For.2	CAACCGTCTCTCAGGTGCTGTTCCA
	CchSERK1-Rev.2	TCCTGGGCAAGGACGTCCAGTTACA
Full-length CchSERK1	For1	ACATGGGGACTTACTTTTTTCTTCT
cDNA synthesis	Rev1	TTTTTTCGGTTGTAAATATTTGCT
Real-Time RT-PCR	qChSERK1 For	CTCTCAGGTGCTGTTCCAGA
	qChSERK1 Rev	GTGGAGGAGAGAATGGAGGA
	CchGAPDH For	GGCCTGAGCAAATCATTCAT
	CchGAPDH Rev	TACCAACGCCATGTGCTCTA

Gene Q 5-Plex thermocycler (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) with 160 ng of cDNA and 0.7  $\mu$ M of each of the following primers: Forward, 5'-ACGGGATCATGCTTCTTGAG-3', and Reverse, 5'-CCCAATCAAGCAAC ATGACATC-3'. The PCR conditions were as follows: 95°C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 72°C for 30 s, and 60°C for 30 s. The GAPDH gene (GenBank accession number AJ246011) was used as the internal control (Table 1).

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Isolation of the CchSERK full-length cDNA

The cloned *CchSERK* full-length cDNA is 2673 bp long. The open reading frame encodes a putative 628-amino acid peptide, with all the characteristic domains of the SERK1 homolog proteins, including the

ctaatacgactcactatagggcaagcagtggta	atcaacgcagagta	catgggggacttact	ttttettetetetetacacacacge	2
aacaactcacagtccagagagagagagagagag caagaaggagaagaactaaactgaactg	aggtagtacaaata aagcacaaaaagag agtgtgaatgagat	tgtgaaaagtttgt; ttgtattagagtaa; tgattattgggttt!	acagataaacaaaagggatgcatta aggggtaccaaaatcttgaaaaaagaa tgagctttgtgggggtgtttatttttt	1
ggtttggtaatgagggttagggttttcttgatt tttcagtgagctagcttcttgatttgtaaacad	tttttgagtaaatg cacacacacacaca	ctggattttttgagi cacacacgtatatai	:ttggtttacttggatctaggaggttt atatgtttgtgtatttg <b>atg</b> gtgaag	1
•	5/ -11TP		M V K	-
gtgatggagaaggaggcgttggtgtcattgttg	ggtgtgggctaatcc	tgattgtacatcct	:ttaagctcatttgtggtaatatggaa	ı
V M E K E A L V S L L PEPTIDE	VWLI	L I V H P LRR1	FKLICGNME	
ggtgatgcgttgcacagtctgcgcaccaactta G D A L H S L R T N L	acaggatectaaca Q D P N	atgtgttacagagci N V L Q S	gggaccetaccettgttaateettge W D P T L V N P C	2
LE	RR1	******		
T W F H V T C N N D N	S V I R	V D <b>L</b> G N	A A L S G Q L V P LRR2	1
cagettggeettttgaagaatttgeagtaettg Q L G L L K N L Q Y L TBP2	ggagetttacagea	ataacataagtggto N N I S G	Caataccgagtgatcttggaaatttg	5
actaatctggtcagcttggatctctacttgaac	cgtcttcaccggtc	ccatcccgacttcci	tgggcaagctgtcgaaattgagattc	2
	v r i G	taactaatatctcat		•
L R L N N N S L S G D LRR4	I P M S	L T N I S	S L Q V L D L S N LRR5	•
aacogteteteaggtgetgtteeagataatggt	S F S L	tcacacctatcagt F T P I S	ttgcaaataatctggatctttgtggg F A N N L D L C G	5
cctgtaactggacgtccttgcccaggatctcct P V T G R P C P G S P	tecatteteteete PFSP	cacctccatttgtt( <u>P</u> P P F V	ccaccaccgccaatttctactccagga P P P P I S T P G	1
ggaaatggtgcaactggagcaattgccggaggt	tgtagctgctggtg	ctgctctactattt	reggeteetgeeattgeatttgeetgg	J
GNGAI <u>GAIAGG</u>	TR	ANSMEMBRANE DON	TAIN A TATAW	1
tggcgccgtagaaagccacaagaatttttcttt <u>W</u> RRRRKPQEFFF	tgatgtaccagctg D V P A E	aagaagatccggaag E D P E V	fttcacctaggtcaactgaaaaggttc / H L G Q L K R F	3
S L R E L Q V A T D S	ttttagcaataaaa F S N K	acattetgggtegag N I L <mark>G</mark> R	Jgtggatttggtaaggtatacaaagga G G F G K V Y K G	1
cgcttagctgatggatcattggtggctgttaac	geggetaaaggagg	agegtactectgga	I Jgggagttgcagtttcaaacagaagtt	1
KLADGSLVMVM II	K L K E	E K T P G	GELQEQTEV III	
gayatgattageatggeagtgeataggaatett E M I S M A V H R N L IV	L R L R	G F C M T	P T E R L L V Y P	
tacatggcgaatggaagtgttgcatcatgcctg Y M A N G S V A S C L V	gagagagcgaccac R E R P	catetgaacegeea P S E P P	:ttgattggccaacacgaaaacgcatc L D W P T R K R I	11
getttgggttetgeeaggggattateatatttg	gcatgatcatgtgg	accetaagatatee	atcgtgatgtgaaggctgcaaatata	1
ALGSARGLSYL VIa	нрну	DPKIS	H R D V K A A N I VIb	
L L D D D F E A V V G	DEGL	A K L M D VII	Y K D T H V T T A	
gtgcgtggtacaattgggcatatagctccagaa	atacctgtccacag	ggaaatetteagaa	agactgatgtttttggatatgggata	a
VRG <b>H</b> IGHIAPE VIII	YLST	GKSSE	KTDVFGYGI IX	
atgettetggagetaateaceggeeaaegtget M L L E L I T G Q R A	F D L A	ggcttgcaaatgatg R L A N D	Jacgatgtcatgttgctcgactgggtg D D V M L L D W V X	1
aaaggactcctaaaagagaagaaattggaaat K G L L K E K K L E M	gctggttgaccctg L V D P	atetteagaacaaa D L Q N K	acgtggaggctgaggtggagcaactg Y V E A E V E Q L	đ
atccaggtagcattgctttgtactcaaagcaac I Q V A L L C T Q S N	cccaatggatcggc P M D <mark>R</mark>	ccaagatgtcggagg P K M S E	ftggtgagaatgettgaaggegatgge V V R M L E G D G	23
ttggctgaaagatgggatgagtggcagaaggta	agaagttctacggc	XI aggaggtggaactto	gcaccacatectggttetgattggata	1
LAEKWDEWQKV	s v L K	Q E V E L	A F H F G S D W I	
V D S T E N L H A V E	L S G P	R	3'-UTR	
taagtttattttttttgtgaaacttttaagta	aaaatcaccagttg	taagtttatgaagt	gtatgttacgttgtgcataagaatttg	Ţ
tactaataggaattetaaateeaeeeetgaea gttagetgagtettgtttteagtgaeattgtte atetgaaaetgaaaatgtttatgtggttgagg	agttgcacatággc ctactgacactgga gg <b>gtatta</b> tctaag	gtagtagttaagtg aatgaaatcaatata caaatatttacaac	stttaaagtegtettaeacagtatget attgaggttatttaeaaagttttgtge egaaaaaaaaaa	; ; a

Fig. 1. Nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences of the *CchSERK1* cDNA. The representative protein motifs and amino acid residues presented in the extracellular, transmembrane, and intracellular domains of the SERK1 protein homologs are shown. The 15 invariant amino acids found in almost all eukaryotic protein kinases are boxed. The conserved threonine in most plant serine/threonine-type RLKs is double-boxed. The XI subdomains of protein kinases are represented in bold roman numbers. The putative polyadenylation signal is labeled in red.

SERK1-specific signature Ser-Pro-Pro (SPP) motif located in the extracellular domain, the amino acid residues required to display a functional kinase activity in the intracellular domain, and the conserved threonine that defines the substrate specificity of most plant serine/threonine type RLKs [17,18] (Fig. 1). The encoded putative peptide has higher identity levels with the deduced amino acid sequences of the genomic annotated *CaSERK1* and *CaSERK2* homologs from pepper (*C. annuum* Zunla-1 and CM-334 varieties, respectively), and with the SERK1 and SERK2 protein homolog members of the Solanaceae family (Fig. 2).

#### 3.2. Phylogenetic analysis

A dendrogram performed with SERK1, SERK2, and SERK3 homologs from C. annuum and members of the Solanaceae family revealed four well-defined clades: the first clade groups CchSERK1 with the CaSERK1 and CaSERK2 C. annuum homologs (from CM-334 and Zunla-1 varieties, respectively), the second clade includes exclusively SERK1 homologs, the third clade includes SERK2 homologs, and the fourth clade includes SERK3 members exclusively (Fig. 2). The clade corresponding to CchSERK1, CaSERK1, and CaSERK2 is much closer to the clade of SERK1 homologs than to the SERK2 homolog clade. The reported pepper genomes have not been annotated completely, but the available information suggests the existence of three distinct pepper homologs of the SERK gene family (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/genome/genomes/10896). Interestingly, the phylogenetic analysis presented in the present work grouped the Zunla-1 annotated SERK2 homolog with the SERK1 homologs from C. annuum and C. chinense but not with the Solanaceae SERK2 homologs. As the annotated Zunla1 *SERK2* homolog has no experimental evidence that supports its annotation, it is possible that it could be indeed the *SERK1* homolog. A multiple alignment of sequences and a phylogenetic comparison between *CchSERK1* and the five *SERK* members of *A. thaliana* demonstrated that *CchSERK1* is much closer to the *A. thaliana SERK1* and *SERK2* homologs (Fig. S1 and Fig. S2).

#### 3.3. Gene expression of CchSERK in plant organs

The relative gene expression levels of CchSERK1 were measured in different organs and tissues of the adult plant by quantitative RT-PCR. The results showed that CchSERK1 was expressed at very low levels in leaves and floral buds and at higher levels in stems and placenta (Fig. 3). Interestingly, as compared to its expression levels in leaves, CchSERK1 expression was almost 15-fold higher in open flowers and 130-fold higher in stems. These expression patterns of CchSERK1 in whole plants are similar to those displayed by SERK1 homologs in other plant species. For example, expression of Citrus unshiu CitSERK1 was higher in leaf buds and in the vascular axes of seedling leaves but was lower in the leaves of adult plants [19]. Gene expression of Ananas comosus AcSERK1 was observed in embryogenic tissues and in nonembryogenic calli, but in adult tissues, it was present in leaves and stems [20]. In Cocos nucifera, CnSERK transcripts were expressed at low levels in mature zygotic embryos, leaves, and roots and slightly higher in stems [12]. In Medicago truncatula, in planta studies revealed that expression of the SERK1 homolog (MtSERK1) was associated with the primary meristems of the root and shoot and the newly formed meristems of the lateral roots and nodule; MtSERK1 expression was also associated with the junction between one type of tissue or organ



Fig. 2. Phylogenetic analysis of *CchSERK1*. A phylogenetic analysis was run with the deduced amino acid sequence of *CchSERK* and the amino acid sequences of the following *SERK* homologs delivered by a BLASTP analysis ran with the amino acid sequence of *CchSERK1* as probe: *Capsicum annuum SERK2* (GenBank accession number XP\_016569384.1), *Capsicum annuum SERK1* (GenBank accession number PHT84123.1), *Nicotiana sylvestris SERK2* (GenBank accession number XP\_009773846.1), *Nicotiana attenuata SERK2* (GenBank accession number XP\_01927009.1), *Nicotiana tabacum SERK2*-like (GenBank accession number XP\_0165663.1), *Solanum peruvianum SERK1* (GenBank accession number XP\_010927309.1), *Nicotiana tabacum SERK2*-like (GenBank accession number XP\_0165663.1), *Solanum peruvianum SERK1* (GenBank accession number XP\_01673305.1), *Solanum lycopersicum SERK1* (GenBank accession number NP\_001233866.1). Three SERK3 homologs from the Solanaceae family were included in the phylogenetic analysis, *Solanum lycopersicum SERK3A* (GenBank accession number NM\_01247697.1), *Nicotiana benthamiana SERK3A* (GenBank accession number XM\_016586554). The analysis was performed as described in Materials and Methods.



**Fig. 3.** *CchSERK1* transcription levels in adult organs of the plant. Transcript levels of *CchSERK1* were quantified by the  $2^{-\Delta CT}$  qRT-PCR method (b) using specific oligonucleotide primers and total RNA extracted from leaves, roots, and stems of 2-week-old *in vitro* germinated seedlings, from 4-DAI floral buds, from open flowers of 3-month-old plants cultivated in the greenhouse, and from placenta extracted from 4-DAI fruits (a). The bars over the columns represent the mean value  $\pm$  SD of three independent experiments.

and another, and with the vascular tissue procambial cells. Based on these data, the authors concluded that gene expression of *MtSERK1* is associated with tissue developmental changes, possibly reflecting cellular reprogramming [21]. In other reports, gene expression of *AtSERK1* was found in the procambium of the vascular bundles in roots, hypocotyls, and inflorescence stems of *Arabidopsis* [10,22]. As procambium cells are differentiated as xylem and phloem cells [23], and SERK1 expression becomes confined to the procambium, it is therefore proposed that *SERK1* expression marks the procambium vascular cell population to differentiate [22].

The similarity of the expression patterns developed by *CchSERK1* in the present work, with those reported for *SERK1* homologs in the literature suggests that the cloned *C. chinense SERK1* homolog could have similar functions in the regulation of cell differentiation in adult plants.

#### 3.4. Gene expression of CchSERK1 during zygotic embryogenesis

Analysis of the *CchSERK1* gene expression during zygotic embryogenesis was performed in different tissues of the pepper fruit, as described in the Materials and methods section. When they are compared to the relative expression found in the open flowers, the expression patterns during the seed development showed a small reduction at the 10, 30, and 45-DAP stages and a small increment at the 14-DAP stage. The expression remained relatively constant in the other periods analyzed (Fig. 4). Gene expression analysis in nonembryogenic tissues during fruit development revealed that *CchSERK1* was expressed at early stages in the placenta and at low levels in the middle developmental stages in the pericarp (Fig. S3). The peaks of *CchSERK1* expression during fruit development coincide with peaks at those stages when zygotic embryogenesis was triggered and when the globular to embryo transition occurred. These expression patterns are similar to those exhibited by other SERK1 homologs during zygotic embryogenesis. In Daucus carota, SERK1 transcripts were detected transiently in zygotic embryos up to the globular stage [14]. In flowers, these transcripts were expressed from 3-DAP, when fertilization occurs, to 20-DAP, which corresponds to the zygotic embryo early globular stage [24]. The authors did not find significant expression in leaves, stems, and roots [14]. In Zea mays, expression of ZmSERK1 was detected in all cells of the embryo sac, except in the synergid, and it was found in zygotes by 36 h after pollination [25]. During the developmental stages of Arabidopsis zygotic embryogenesis, high levels of AtSERK1 mRNA were found in closed floral buds and in pollinated flowers from stages 1 to 7. Quantitative analysis showed that AtSERK1 transcripts in floral buds were 10-fold higher than those in leaf tissues [10]. The expression of Medicago truncatula MtSERK1 showed different patterns compared with that in other species during zygotic embryogenesis because transcripts were detected in globular embryos but expression increased at the heart stage, to diminish later at torpedo and cotyledonal stages. In the late stages of embryo development, MtSERK1 expression was relevant in cotyledons and radicle tips, especially in pro-vascular chain and epidermis. Expression was also detected in the cell layer that surrounds the embryo endosperm [21].

#### 3.5. Gene expression of CchSERK1 during somatic embryogenesis

Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of *CchSERK1* expression was also conducted during somatic embryogenesis. Results showed that the transcription levels of *CchSERK1* diminished from the globular to the cotyledonal stages but reached a remarkable fourfold peak of



**Fig. 4.** *CchSERK1* transcription levels during different stages of seed development. Transcript levels of *CchSERK1* were quantified by the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  qRT-PCR method (c) using specific oligonucleotide primers and total RNA extracted from open flowers, the whole fruit body after 1 and 4-DAI, and from the whole seeds (b) isolated at different stages from 10 to 45 days of fruit development (a). The bars over the columns represent the mean value  $\pm$  SD of three independent experiments.

expression at the heart stage as compared to the expression levels found in the non-induced hypocotyl explants (Fig. 5). The expression pattern of CchSERK1 during the development of somatic embryos was slightly different from those patterns exhibited by many other species, where higher expression was found at the globular stage. During the somatic embryogenesis processes occurring in A. thaliana, D. carota, and M. truncatula, the corresponding SERK1 homologs showed consistent expression patterns, increasing at the globular stage and then diminishing at the heart and cotyledonal stages [10,14,21]. Nonetheless, gene expression patterns of SERK1 homologs, which are distinct from this conserved behavior, are found in other species. In Passiflora edulis, the expression of PeSERK, as assessed by in situ hybridization, was predominantly high in the abaxial part of the cotyledonal explants from 10 to 30 days after embryogenic induction; interestingly, neither pro-embryogenic structures (20to 22-day-old cultures) nor globular embryos (22- to 30-day-old cultures) showed PeSERK expression. These results suggested that in P. edulis, expression of PeSERK1 is needed when embryogenic competence is acquired, but not later during embryo development [26], and also demonstrate that, as in C. chinense, SERK1 homologs from different species may show different patterns of expression during somatic embryogenesis, essentially during the transition of the first stages.

While SERK1 is probably the best molecular marker of embryogenesis, recent findings and reports in the scientific literature demonstrate that it

possesses wider biological functions. It is now accepted that the SERK1 protein functions as a co-receptor that helps the main receptors to bind to their specific ligands and trigger the correspondent downstream signal transduction pathways [27]. Indeed, SERK receptors have been grouped as mode-I coreceptors, which can form complexes with different ligand-binding receptors, allowing SERK1 receptors to participate in different biological processes [28]. Based in its ability to bind different receptors, it has been demonstrated that SERK1 is also involved in the coregulation of different cell differentiation pathways, including the control of male sporogenesis [29], and the tapetum development and microspore maturation [30]. It has been demonstrated that SERK1 complexes in vivo with the brassinosteroid receptor (BRI1), forming the true hetero-receptor binding site for the steroid. The heteromerization of BRI1 with SERK1 leads to the activation of a cytoplasmic signaling cascade, triggering plant growth and cell differentiation [31]. More recently, in vivo evidence demonstrated that SERKs (SERK1, SERK2, and SERK3) serve as co-receptors in CLE41/TDIF-PXY signaling to regulate plant vascular development. As CLE peptides have decisive roles in the regulation of proliferation and differentiation of plant stem cells, the authors deduced that SERK1 acts as a coregulator of these cell functions [32].

The above reports provide strong evidence that *SERK1* homologs participate as coregulator of the cell differentiation processes of plants, including embryogenesis. Thus, it is possible that the gene expression patterns of the habanero pepper *SERK1* homolog observed in this work,



**Fig. 5.** *CchSERK1* transcription levels during somatic embryogenesis. Transcript levels of *CchSERK1* were quantified by the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  qRT-PCR method (b) using specific oligonucleotide primers and total RNA extracted from non-induced hypocotyl explants and globular, heart, and torpedo/cotyledonal whole embryo structures (a). The bars over the columns represent the mean value  $\pm$  SD of three independent experiments.

both in whole plants and during somatic and zygotic embryogenesis, could be related to its function as a general coregulator of cell differentiation, which is in turn needed to regulate the production of differentiated cells in roots, stem, leaves, and flowers and to trigger the somatic-embryogenic transition and the differentiation of tissues during the transition between every embryogenesis stage.

#### 4. Conclusion

The alignment of the *CchSERK1* cDNA sequence with the DNA sequences of different *SERK1* homologs and the similarity in their gene expression patterns constitute indirect evidence that supports the identity of *CchSERK1* as the *C. chinense SERK1* homolog. The expression patterns in tissues with a high differentiation activity suggest that *CchSERK1* has functions in the co-regulation of both zygotic and somatic embryogenesis, as well as in the production of vascular cells. As the deduced amino acid sequence of the encoded protein presents all the protein residues and domains conforming a SERK1 functional receptor-like kinase enzyme, the cloned cDNA constitutes a powerful tool that can be used to ectopically manipulate the expression of the *CchSERK1* gene; this could be useful to overcome the absence of *SERK1* mutants in peppers and can be used to analyze the molecular regulation of somatic embryogenesis and the recalcitrance of the genus *Capsicum* to proliferate *in vitro* by tissue culture techniques.

#### **Financial support**

This project received financial support from Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología-FORDECyT (173407) and Comisión Intersecretarial de Bioseguridad de los Organismos Genéticamente Modificados (278944). DJG and DPP received CONACYT Ph.D. fellowships 255285 and 242977, respectively.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank M.C. Elidé Avilés-Berzunza for technical assistance.

#### Supplementary material

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejbt.2019.07.006

#### References

- The Observatory of Economic Complexity. Product complexity rankings (PCI). Available from Internet https://atlas.media.mit.edu/en/rankings/product/hs92/. [Cited 31 October 2018].
- [2] Canto-Flick A, Balam-Uc E, Bello-Bello J, et al. Capsaicinoids content in habanero pepper (*Capsicum chinense* Jacq.): hottest known cultivars. HortSci Horts 2008;43(5): 1344–9. https://doi.org/10.21273/HORTSCI.43.5.1344.
- [3] Ochoa-Alejo N, Ramírez-Malagón R. In vitro chili pepper Biotechnology. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol-Plant 2001;37(6):701–29. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11627-001-0121-z.
- [4] Orlinska M, Nowaczyk P. In vitro plant regeneration of 4 Capsicum spp. genotypes using different explant types. Turkish J Biol 2015;39(1):60–8. https://doi.org/10. 3906/biy-1403-89.
- [5] Grozeva S, Todorova V. In vitro regeneration in pepper (Capsicum annuum L.) and characterization of plant-regenerants. Electron. J Biol 2015;11(1):17–22.

- [6] Heidmann I, De Lange B, Lambalk J, et al. Efficient sweet pepper transformation mediated by the BABY BOOM transcription factor. Plant Cell Rep 2011;30(6):1107–15. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-011-1018-x PMid: 21305301.
- [7] Solis-Ramos LY, González-Estrada T, Nahuath-Dzib S, et al. Overexpression of WUSCHEL in C. chinense causes ectopic morphogenesis. Plant Cell Tiss Organ Cult 2009;96(3):279–87. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11240-008-9485-7.
- [8] Pérez-Pascual D, Jiménez-Guillen D, Villanueva-Alonzo H, et al. Ectopic expression of the Coffea canephora SERK1 homolog-induced differential transcription of genes involved in auxin metabolism and in the developmental control of embryogenesis. Physiol Plant 2018;163(4):530–51. https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.12709 PMid: 29607503.
- [9] Li J. Multi-tasking of somatic embryogenesis receptor-like protein kinases. Curr Op Plant Biol 2010;13(5):509–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2010.09.004 PMid: 20926334.
- [10] Hecht V, Vielle-Calzada JP, Hartog MV, et al. The Arabidopsis Somatic Embryogenesis Receptor Kinase 1 gene is expressed in developing ovules and embryos and enhances embryogenic competence in culture. Plant Physiol 2001;127(3):803–16. https://doi. org/10.1104/pp.010324 PMid: 11706164.
- [11] Zeng F, Zhang X, Zhu L, et al. Isolation and characterization of genes associated to cotton somatic embryogenesis by suppression subtractive hybridization and microarray. Plant Mol Biol 2006;60(2):167–83. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-005-3381-x PMid:16429258.
- [12] Perez-Núñez MT, Souza R, Sáenz L, et al. Detection of a SERK-like gene in coconut and analysis of its expression during the formation of embryogenic callus and somatic embryos. Plant Cell Rep 2009;28(1):11–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-008-0616-8 PMid:18818928.
- [13] Somleva MN, Schmidt EDL, De Vries SC. Embryogenic cells in *Dactylis glomerata* L. (Poaceae) explants identified by cell tracking and by *SERK* expression. Plant Cell Rep 2000;19(7):718–26. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002999900169 PMid:30754811.
- [14] Schmidt EDL, Guzzo F, Toonen MAJ, et al. A leucine-rich repeat containing receptorlike kinase marks somatic plant cells competent to form embryos. Develop 1997;124 (10):2049–62.
- [15] Avilés-Viñas SA, Lecona-Guzmán CA, Canto-Flick A, et al. Morpho-histological and ultrastructural study on direct somatic embryogenesis of *Capsicum chinense* Jacq. in liquid medium. Plant Biotechnol Rep 2013;7(3):277–86. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s11816-012-0261-0.
- [16] Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2-ΔΔCT method. Methods 2001;25(4):402-8. https:// doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262.
- [17] Shah K, Vervoort J, de Vries Sacco C. Role of Threonines in the Arabidopsis thaliana somatic embryogenesis receptor kinase 1 activation loop in phosphorylation. J Biol Chem 2001;276(44):41263–9. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M102381200 PMid: 11509554.
- [18] Chen X, Chern M, Canlas PE, et al. A conserved threonine residue in the juxtamembrane domain of the XA21 pattern recognition receptor is critical for kinase autophosphorylation and XA21-mediated immunity. J Biol Chem 2010;285 (14):10454–63. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.093427 PMid: 20118235.
- [19] Ge X-X, Fan G-E, Chai L-J, et al. Cloning, molecular characterization and expression analysis of a somatic embryogenesis receptor-like kinase gene (*CitSERK1-like*) in

Valencia sweet orange. Acta Physiol Plant 2010;32(6):1197-207. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11738-010-0515-9.

- [20] Ma J, He Y, Wu C, et al. Cloning and molecular characterization of a SERK gene transcriptionally induced during somatic embryogenesis in *Ananas comosus* cv. Shenwan. Plant Mol Biol Rep 2012;30(1):195–203. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11105-011-0330-5.
- [21] Nolan KE, Kurdyukov S, Rose RJ. Expression of the SOMATIC EMBRYOGENESIS RECEP-TOR- LIKE KINASE1 (SERK1) gene is associated with developmental change in the life cycle of the model legume Medicago truncatula. J Exp Bot 2009;60(6):1759–71. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erp046 PMid: 19305022.
- [22] Kwaaitaal MACJ, de Vries SC, Russinova E. Arabidopsis thaliana somatic embryogenesis receptor kinase 1 protein is present in sporophytic and gametophytic cells and undergoes endocytosis. Protoplasma 2005;226(1):55–65. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00709-005-0111-9 PMid; 16231101.
- [23] Jouannet V, Brackmann K, Greb T. (Pro)cambium formation and proliferation: two sides of the same coin? Curr Op Plant Biol 2015;23:54–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.pbi.2014.10.010 PMid: 25449727.
- [24] Lackie S, Yeung EC. Zygotic embryo development in *Daucus carota*. Can J Bot 1996;74 (7):990–8. https://doi.org/10.1139/b96-123.
- [25] Baudino S, Hansen S, Brettschneider R. Molecular characterisation of two novel maize LRR receptor-like kinases, which belong to the SERK gene family. Planta 2001;213(1):1–10. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004250000471 PMid: 11523644.
- [26] Rocha DI, Pinto DLP, Vieira LM, et al. Cellular and molecular changes associated with competence acquisition during passion fruit somatic embryogenesis: Ultrastructural characterization and analysis of SERK gene expression. Protoplasma 2016;253(2): 595–609. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00709-015-0837-v PMid: 26008651.
- [27] He Y, Zhou J, Shan L, et al. Plant cell surface receptor-mediated signaling A common theme amid diversity. J Cell Sci 2018;131(2):1–11. jcs209353. https://doi.org/ 10.1242/jcs.209353 PMid: 29378836.
- [28] Xi L, Wu XN, Gilbert M, et al. Classification and interactions of LRR receptors and coreceptors within the Arabidopsis plasma membrane–an overview. Front Plant Sci 2019;10(472). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00472 PMid: 31057579.
- [29] Albrecht C, Russinova E, Hecht V, et al. The Arabidopsis thaliana somatic embryogenesis receptor-likE kinases1 and 2 control male sporogenesis. Plant Cell 2005;17(12): 3337–49. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.105.036814 PMid: 16284305.
- [30] Colcombet J, Boisson-Dernier A, Ros-Palau R, et al. Arabidopsis somatic embryogenesis receptor kinases1 and 2 are essential for tapetum development and microspore maturation. Plant Cell 2005;17(12):3350–61. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.105. 036731 PMid: 16284306.
- [31] Santiago J, Henzler C, Hothorn M. Molecular mechanism for plant steroid receptor activation by somatic embryogenesis co-receptor kinases. Science 2013;341 (6148):889–92. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1242468 PMid: 23929946.
- [32] Zhang H, Lin X, Han Z, et al. SERK family receptor-like kinases function as co-receptors with PXY for plant vascular development. Mol Plant 2016;9(10):1406–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2016.07.004 PMid: 27449136.