A new record of *Azadinium spinosum* (Dinoflagellata) from the tropical Mexican Pacific
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Abstract.- The species *Azadinium spinosum*, a small thecate dinoflagellate, originally and recently described from the North Sea, was found for the first time in the tropical Pacific coast of Mexico. Description by light and scanning electron microscopy and abundance data are here provided. The morphology of the species agrees with the original description, including size, shape, presence of posterior spine and tabulation details. Less than 300 cells L⁻¹ were found in one station in the Pacific coast of Mexico. This species has been recognized as a producer of azaspiracid toxins (AZAs) in the North Sea, although no toxin analysis has been made as yet in Mexican waters.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Dinoflagellates are an extended and a very important protist group of the marine phytoplankton, because of their diversity, abundance, ecological role and potential toxicity. In the Mexican Pacific Ocean, most of the studies about this group have been dedicated to the microplankton fraction and species that produce red tides or toxins. A check list of the dinoflagellates from the Mexican Pacific listed 605 free-living species (Okolodkov & Gárate-Lizárraga 2006), but still many new records and even new species have been recently described (Hernández-Becerril & Bravo-Sierra 2004a, 2004b, Hernández-Becerril et al. 2008, Gárate-Lizárraga 2009, Gárate-Lizárraga et al. 2011).

During the course of phytoplankton biodiversity surveys, two oceanographic cruises in the coasts of the Mexican Pacific were carried out, and a new record of a small thecate dinoflagellate, later identified as *Azadinium spinosum*, a nanoplanktonic species recently described from the North Sea (Tillmann et al. 2009) was made. This small thecate dinoflagellate (12-16 μm), is known as a producer of azaspiracid toxins (AZAs) (Tillmann et al. 2009, Salas et al. 2011). The purposes of this study are to annotate a new report of this species in the tropical Pacific of Mexico, and provide a description of the specimens found in the area and information on its abundance and distribution.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Phytoplankton was sampled (bottle and net samples) during two oceanographic cruises. One cruise was carried out along coasts off the tropical Mexican Pacific (cruise ‘Tehua-V’, February, 2008), with findings of the species in at least two localities (Fig. 1). The other cruise (cruise ‘MareaR II’, 26 April - 7 May, 2010) was performed in the central Mexican Pacific, where the species was detected and counted in only one point (Fig. 1).

A total of 35 bottle samples were taken at different depths, including surface and the maximum chlorophyll subsurface layer (MCSL). Two procedures were followed: surface samples of the first cruise were concentrated by passive filtration (using only gravity and cellulose filters of 1.2 μm pore), and then fixed with 1% glutaraldehyde. For the second cruise, bottle samples were taken from different depths at fixed stations, subsampled (250 mL) and then fixed with Lugol’s solution. Unfortunately, no samples were taken nor fixed to carry out molecular analysis.

Lugol’s fixed samples were analyzed following the inverted microscope technique (Utermöhl) (Edler & Elbrächter 2010), using chambers of either 25 or 50 mL, settled down for 24 h. Identification and counting were made in cross-transects and density of phytoplankters was calculated using the formula as recommended by
Andersen & Thronsden (2003). For additional observations by electron microscopy, glutaraldehyde fixed samples were dehydrated (through alcohol series), critically point-dried, mounted upon an aluminum stub, coated with gold and then observed by SEM (JEOL JSM 6360LV).

RESULTS

Description of *Azadinium spinosum* Elbrächter *et* Tillmann

Reference: Tillmann *et al.* 2009, p. 66, figs. 1 A-E, 2 A-C, 3 A-E, 4 A-D, 5 A-E, 6 A-F; Salas *et al.* 2011, p. 778, figs. 2 A-C, figs. 3 A-D.

The species was found solitary (Fig. 2 a-e). The cells have an elliptic outline, elongate, and are slightly dorso-ventrally depressed (Fig. 2 a-e). The epitheca is conical, larger than the hypotheca, which is also conical but slightly curved at the posterior end, and cingulum is wide (up to ¼ of the cell length) and excavated (Fig. 2 a-e). The epitheca shows a conspicuous apical pore complex (APC), not protruding (Fig. 2 a-e). The apical plate (1') is rhomboid (Fig. 2c), the second anterior intercalary (plate 2a) is small, four-sided, and almost square to rhomboid (Fig. 2d). The hypotheca is slightly asymmetrical and has a very fine and short antapical spine (Fig. 2b, d, e). The cingulum is wide, excavate and descending (Fig. 2c). The cingular lists are poorly-developed, and sulcal lists are lacking (Fig. 2c-e). There are very few poroids scattered in the theca, especially two are present in the third apical plate (3'), and a row of poroids parallel and just below the lower cingular list (Fig. 2d, e).

Thecal tabulation of specimens found in this study shows the same arrangement as described originally: apical pore complex (APC), 4’, 3a, 6'', 6C, ?S, 6”’, 2”’.

Measurements are: 10.8-12.8 μm length, 7.5-7.8 μm width (n = 7).

A total of 12 specimens of *Azadinium spinosum* were detected (only 7 measured): 4 in material for SEM and the rest in settled material for counting for inverted microscope. The species was found at 3 locations in the Mexican Pacific (Fig. 1), but only in one location (Station 10, 10 m depth) specimens of *Azadinium spinosum* were found and counted, together with other phytoplankton species (Table 1); all other samples yielded no specimens of the species. Relatively low numbers of the species were recorded, 289 cells L⁻¹ in April, 2010 (Table 1).
Figure 2. *Azadinium spinosum* from the tropical Mexican Pacific. Light microscopy: a) Specimen with protoplasm, including chloroplast, b) Specimen showing the outline of the cell, epi- and hypotheca, cingulum and a posterior short spine (arrow). SEM: c) Ventral view of a cell, with a wide cingulum, d) Cell in dorsal view, showing the second intercalary plate, 2a (*) and posterior spine (arrow), e) Specimen in lateral view, with the posterior spine (arrow) / *Azadinium spinosum* del Pacífico tropical Mexicano. Microscopía de luz: a) Espéímen con protoplasma, incluyendo cloroplastos, b) Espéímen mostrando el contorno de la célula, epi- e hipoteca, cingulum y una espina posterior corta (flecha). MEB: c) Vista ventral de una célula con cingulum amplio, d) Célula en vista dorsal mostrando la segunda placa intercalar anterior 2a (*) y una espina posterior (flecha), e) Espéímen en vista lateral con una espina posterior (flecha).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>cells L⁻¹</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>cells L⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Chaetoceros curvisetus</em></td>
<td>72,159</td>
<td><em>Rhizosalenia imbricata</em></td>
<td>4,811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chaetoceros socialis</em></td>
<td>226,097</td>
<td><em>Thalassionema nitzschiioides</em></td>
<td>962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cylindrotheca closterium</em></td>
<td>3,598</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Detonula pumila</em></td>
<td>70,234</td>
<td><em>Dinoflagellates</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptocylindrus danicus</em></td>
<td>14,432</td>
<td><em>Azadinium spinosum</em></td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lioloma pacificum</em></td>
<td>7,697</td>
<td><em>Oxytoxum variabile</em></td>
<td>3,848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Proboscia alata</em></td>
<td>962</td>
<td><em>Prorocentrum gracile</em></td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudonitzschia delicatissima</em></td>
<td>10,583</td>
<td><em>Scrippsiella trochoidea</em></td>
<td>1,924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. fraudulenta</em></td>
<td>21,167</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. pungens</em></td>
<td>65,424</td>
<td><em>Ciliates</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. multistriata</em></td>
<td>962</td>
<td><em>Myrionecta rubra</em></td>
<td>143,355</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

The morphology of the specimens of *Azadinium spinosum* found in the Mexican Pacific agrees well with the original description of the species, including tabulation (Tillmann *et al.* 2009), and posterior descriptions (Salas *et al.* 2011, Akselman & Negri 2012). The shape of the species in this study is similar to the original description, although is slightly smaller than those originally described (10.8-12.8 μm length in our material against 12.3-15.7 μm length of the North Sea material). Additionally, as we studied fixed material, the single chloroplast and the pyrenoid described for the species were not clearly defined at the magnification utilised (Fig. 2a).

We were not able to observe the ventral pore located in the first apical plate (1'), as it was justly covered by a small particle. The second intercalary plate (2a) was identical to the one described originally, which is typical for its size and shape in the specimens studied here. Finally, the posterior delicate spine was seen either in LM and SEM.

We also compared our specimens with the closely related species *Azadinium obesium* Tillmann *et al.* Elbrächter and *Azadinium poporum* Tillmann *et al.* Elbrächter (Tillmann *et al.* 2010, 2011), and we are certain that the species corresponds to *A. spinosum*, for all morphological characters observed.

Additionally, some *Heterocapsa* or *Scrippsiella* species may superficially resemble *Azadinium spinosum*, especially in shape and size, such as *H. rotundata* (Lohmann) Hansen, although in the latter the epitheca has a different shape, is larger, and lacks an antapical spine (Iwataki 2008), or *S. precaria* Montresor et Zingone, which is about the same size and shape, has a small square second anterior intercalary plate (plate 2a), similar to *A. spinosum*, but with a narrower cingulum and has no antapical spine (Montresor & Zingone 1988).

The phylogeneric position of the genus *Azadinium* is controversial since its original description (Tillmann *et al.* 2009), when the authors mentioned the uncertainty of classifying the genus into ordinal affiliation and the possibility that it might be included in a new order of dinoflagellates, according to 2 molecular phylogenies (using markers based on rDNA and COI), which was confirmed later (Salas *et al.* 2011).

*Azadinium spinosum* was not only identified, but also counted in locations from the Mexican Pacific coasts. The numbers are considered low, with less than 300 cells L⁻¹ in one station, at 10 m depth, so the species may be considered unabundant and rare. This may not represent any potential danger in regards to high concentration of azaspiracid toxins (AZAs), although no toxin analysis was or has been made yet. *Azadinium spinosum* was associated with a small phytoplankton bloom, where the diatom *Chaetoceros socialis* and the ciliate *Myrionecta rubra* were the dominant species, whereas species of the diatom genus *Pseudo-nitzschia* (some species are considered to be toxic) also yielded important densities (Table 1).

The species is a new record for the study area and the Pacific Ocean, although the genus *Azadinium* and the species *A. cf. poporum* have been already found in the Pacific Ocean (Korea) (Potvin *et al.* 2012). Its distribution has increased, not only occurring in temperate and cold northern waters (North Sea) (Tillmann *et al.* 2009) and Ireland (Salas *et al.* 2011), the southwestern Atlantic in Argentina (Akselman & Negri 2012), or the north Pacific (Korea) (Potvin *et al.* 2012), but also in tropical waters: the Pacific coast of Mexico.
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